

Finding a Life Purpose: Meaning, Choice, and Psychological Flexibility

Christian Jonathan Haverkamp

Narrative Clinical Review

Abstract—Purpose is often framed as a single hidden mission, but research supports a more flexible clinical account. Within meaning in life, purpose refers to valued aims that organize choices toward a future; it may be plural, evolving, relational, culturally situated, and expressed through commitments. This narrative review distinguishes purpose from coherence, significance, happiness, productivity, moral worth, and symptom relief. Observational studies associate greater purpose with wellbeing, health behavior, and lower mortality, yet confounding and reverse causation preclude promises that prescribing purpose will improve health. Assessment should explore values, roles, strengths, constraints, grief, and identity while distinguishing ordinary uncertainty from depression, mania, psychosis, trauma-related avoidance, and obsessive certainty seeking. Helpful strategies include autobiographical reflection, attention to moments of vitality and contribution, bounded behavioral experiments, committed action, relationship and community participation, and revision. Clinicians should avoid imposing culturally narrow ideals, glorifying work, blaming patients whose illness or circumstances limit choice, or reinforcing unsafe grandiose plans. A communication-focused formulation is presented as an author-developed adjunct for tracing how values become internal messages, interpersonal commitments, and observable choices. The aim is not certainty about a life, but a coherent and chosen direction for the next chapter, with room for limitation, change, care, and more than one source of meaning.

Index Terms—purpose, meaning in life, values, psychological flexibility, wellbeing, psychotherapy, communication

I. INTRODUCTION

The question “What is my purpose?” can carry hope, distress, comparison, or a demand for certainty. Someone may seek a reason to rise, a career decision, a way to contribute, or reassurance that life has not been wasted. Popular culture often promises one permanent purpose; that

story can turn exploration into a test of identity and make provisional experiments feel inadequate.

Psychological literature offers a more differentiated vocabulary. Meaning in life can involve coherence—the sense that experience makes sense; significance—the sense that life matters; and purpose—direction through valued aims (Martela & Steger, 2016). These dimensions overlap but are not identical. A person may understand a difficult history without yet knowing what to pursue, or pursue a demanding goal while doubting personal significance.

Earlier JPPC discussions emphasized that anxiety can narrow communication, inhibit valued action, and orient attention toward threat (Haverkamp, 2013; Haverkamp, 2017a, 2017b). Purpose can counter narrowing by organizing approach, but it is not an antidote that makes anxiety disappear. The present review integrates research on meaning, wellbeing, health, acceptance-based treatment, development, and clinical formulation while retaining uncertainty about causal mechanisms.

II. SCOPE AND METHOD

This narrative review draws on conceptual and measurement papers, longitudinal studies, reviews, and psychotherapy research available through 29 February 2024. It considers purpose, meaning, values, wellbeing, psychological flexibility, identity, vocation, and treatment, prioritizing work and reviews attentive to causal limits. It offers neither a universal philosophy nor an empirical prescription for what a life should mean.

III. DEFINING PURPOSE

McKnight and Kashdan (2009) described purpose as a central, self-organizing life aim that stimulates goals, manages behavior, and provides meaning. The definition highlights direction and organization. It need not imply complete conscious clarity or one dominant domain. Parenting, scientific inquiry, spiritual practice, artistry, friendship,

Christian Jonathan Haverkamp, M.D., LL.M., is a psychotherapist working in private practice in Dublin, Ireland. Correspondence: jonathanhaverkamp@gmail.com. Website: www.jonathanhaverkamp.com.
© 2024 Christian Jonathan Haverkamp.

citizenship, and care can each organize action; several can coexist.

Steger et al. (2006) distinguished presence of meaning from search for meaning in developing the Meaning in Life Questionnaire. Searching is not inherently healthy or unhealthy. It may represent open exploration when life changes, or repetitive certainty seeking when the person believes action must wait for a final answer. The function and emotional context matter.

Purpose also differs from a goal. "Qualify as a nurse" is a goal that can be completed. "Contribute competently to care" is a value-laden direction that can guide many goals. If purpose is tied entirely to one outcome, retirement, illness, rejection, or completion can create collapse. A broader direction is more adaptable.

People often seek one purpose because plurality feels indecisive. Yet lives are structured by multiple roles and timescales. Caring for a parent can be central for several years; developing a craft can span decades; being a reliable friend is expressed in repeated small acts. A coherent life can contain several directions that sometimes compete.

Purpose changes with development and circumstance. Adolescence and early adulthood commonly involve exploration. Midlife may bring reassessment of work, care, health, and finite time. Retirement, bereavement, migration, disability, or recovery from illness can remove roles that once organized daily life. Revision is not evidence that the former purpose was false. It may be an appropriate response to reality.

This developmental view protects against premature foreclosure. A young person need not select a lifelong mission before trying roles, and an older person is not excluded from new direction. Experiments can be held provisionally: "For the next six months, I will test whether mentoring expresses contribution and curiosity." Provisional commitment is still commitment.

Western individualist language often treats purpose as personal passion and autonomous career. Other traditions emphasize family obligation, community continuity, spirituality, place, or collective survival. Even highly individual goals depend on relationships, institutions, and material conditions. The clinician should ask how the person and their communities understand a worthwhile life rather than importing a preferred model.

Purpose is communicated and recognized socially. A teacher's work matters through students; caregiving exists in relationship; artistic work seeks some audience, even an imagined one. This does not make worth dependent on approval. It means that direction often develops through

contribution and response rather than private introspection alone.

Conflicts between personal and collective aims deserve respectful exploration. Family expectation can provide belonging and can also constrain. The therapeutic task is not automatic independence or obedience. It is to identify values, power, consequence, and the range of choice, including realistic cultural and financial costs.

IV. WHAT THE EVIDENCE CAN AND CANNOT SHOW

Higher reported purpose has been associated with several favorable outcomes. Hill and Turiano (2014) found that purpose in life predicted lower mortality risk across adulthood in a longitudinal cohort. Cohen et al. (2016) reviewed associations between purpose and physical health outcomes. Such findings are important but observational. People with better health, education, income, social integration, or personality characteristics may report more purpose and also live longer. Statistical adjustment reduces but cannot eliminate unmeasured confounding. A 2023 meta-analysis of 99 samples (N = 66,468) found moderate-to-large inverse associations of purpose with depression and anxiety, but the largely associational evidence cannot establish whether purpose protects mental health, better mental health enables purpose, or both (Boreham & Schutte, 2023).

Reverse causation is plausible. Chronic illness, pain, unemployment, and depression can reduce perceived purpose. Measurement also matters: self-report may capture optimism, conscientiousness, or social connection in addition to purpose. Clinicians should therefore avoid telling patients that insufficient purpose caused illness or that purpose will extend life. The evidence supports inquiry into a potentially protective psychological resource, not a guarantee.

Ryff (1989) included purpose in life within a multidimensional model of psychological wellbeing. This reminds clinicians that wellbeing is not only positive mood. Autonomy, environmental mastery, relationships, growth, self-acceptance, and purpose can diverge. A person engaged in meaningful caregiving may feel exhausted and sad; low happiness does not negate meaning.

V. PURPOSE AND PSYCHIATRIC SYMPTOMS

Loss of direction can be a response to transition or a symptom-related consequence. Depression may produce anhedonia, hopelessness, guilt, low energy, and inability to imagine a future. Severe anxiety may make threat avoidance the dominant organizing principle. Trauma can disrupt assumptions about safety and identity. Psychosis may generate idiosyncratic meanings, while mania can produce expansive

missions with impaired judgment. OCD may turn purpose into a certainty problem that requires endless analysis.

Assessment asks whether the concern is longstanding or episodic and whether function, mood, sleep, energy, cognition, substance use, or risk has changed. Reduced need for sleep, escalating activity, grandiosity, and expansive plans call for assessment of mania, not enthusiastic purpose coaching; suicidal hopelessness calls for immediate safety assessment and depression care.

Purpose language should not pathologize ordinary uncertainty. A graduate unsure which role to choose may be developing normally, and grief can temporarily suspend future orientation. Companionship and time may be more appropriate than a values worksheet.

Loss of purpose can accompany a mood disorder, but diagnosis depends on syndrome, impairment, context, and course rather than existential dissatisfaction alone (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). Behavioral activation is evidence based for depression; rumination research distinguishes repetitive thought that obstructs action from reflection that supports problem solving (Dimidjian et al., 2006; Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008; Watkins, 2008).

Table 1. Differential questions when purpose feels absent (author-developed clinical synthesis; not a validated instrument)

Presentation	Questions	Possible response
Transition or exploration	What changed, what has been tried, and what remains open?	Structured experiments and provisional commitments
Depression	Mood, pleasure, energy, guilt, cognition, sleep, psychomotor change, suicidal thinking?	Evidence-based depression assessment and treatment
Anxiety or avoidance	Which feared outcomes prevent exploration or action?	Anxiety treatment and graded approach
OCD-like certainty seeking	Is the person repeatedly checking whether a choice is “truly right”?	Exposure and response prevention; choose without complete certainty
Mania or psychosis	Reduced sleep, grandiosity, unusual beliefs, impulsive spending, impaired judgment?	Prompt psychiatric assessment
Burnout or overload	Has sustained demand removed recovery and agency?	Workload, rest, health, and systems intervention
Grief	What person, role, future, or identity was lost?	Grief-informed support and gradual reconstruction

Table 1 (continued).

Presentation	Questions	Possible response
Structural constraint	Are money, discrimination, care duties, disability, or safety limiting options?	Practical advocacy, accommodation, and realistic planning

VI. VALUES, DIRECTION, AND A CLINICAL PROCESS

Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) distinguishes values from goals and uses committed action in the presence of difficult internal experience. A meta-analysis of 66 laboratory-based component studies found positive effects for several psychological-flexibility components, including values, compared with inactive conditions, while also noting methodological limits (Levin et al., 2012). This supports values work within the ACT tradition, but it does not show that one exercise reveals a person’s purpose.

Values are chosen qualities—such as curiosity, care, craftsmanship, honesty, or courage—rather than occupations or inflexible rules. Care can be expressed as a parent, clinician, neighbor, or citizen, and values may conflict: honesty and kindness can pull toward different wording, while ambition and presence compete for time. The task is not to construct a perfectly consistent hierarchy but to choose more consciously. A rule such as ‘a good person must always help’ may instead invite exploitation or burnout; care can include boundaries, contribution can include rest, and courage can include leaving.

Purpose inquiry can begin with episodes rather than abstract identity: times of engagement, usefulness, absorption, quiet satisfaction, or effort that felt worth its cost. Concern, admiration, and even envy may point toward neglected values; anger can mark violated fairness, grief reveals attachment, and boredom may reflect underused capacity or fatigue. These are clues, not commands. An autobiographical review can then trace recurring qualities across periods of energy, contribution, learning, belonging, and difficulty. A history dominated by survival is not deficient; small acts of protection, endurance, humor, or care may reveal values without romanticizing adversity.

Because prolonged introspection can become a search for certainty, purpose is often better explored through bounded action. A six-week trial of mentoring, making, study, care, or community participation can specify what to observe—energy, contribution, burden, connection, and willingness to return—while testing more than one domain. A negative result remains informative: disliking one form of volunteering may show poor fit without disproving compassion. Revision therefore

reflects contact with evidence rather than failure to discover a fixed identity.

Direction becomes clinically useful when it changes choice. A value can be linked to a long-term orientation, a current project, a weekly action, and an immediately available next step. Goals should be specific enough to guide behavior yet flexible enough to respond to evidence, and they should distinguish outcomes that depend on others from processes under the person's control. 'Obtain the role' may therefore coexist with 'submit an accurate application by Friday'; failure at either level need not invalidate the wider direction.

Committed action does not require confidence. Anxiety, grief, and self-doubt may accompany it. The person chooses whether the discomfort is a cost worth carrying. Haverkamp (2017a) described anxious communication as potentially narrowing action; in values work, the question becomes whether the threat signal is information to consider or the sole authority over the decision.

Implementation should identify resources, recovery needs, and both internal and external barriers. Fear, shame, boredom, or fatigue may call for acceptance, exposure, cognitive work, or skill, whereas money, access, caring duties, or discrimination may require advocacy, accommodation, negotiation, or another route. Treating both as mindset failures is inaccurate. Sacrifice should have visible beneficiaries, duration, and review points, and willingness is not endurance without limit. Chronic pain, post-exertional symptoms, trauma, and safety needs require individual pacing; a values label does not make harm therapeutic.

Values-consistent action can be supported by willingness to experience discomfort and by implementation intentions that specify when and where a small behavior will occur (Hayes et al., 1996; Gollwitzer & Sheeran, 2006).

Begin by clarifying what the person means by purpose and what they hope an answer would change. Assess mood, anxiety, sleep, energy, cognition, substance use, trauma, medical health, relationships, culture, spirituality, disability, material constraints, suicidal thinking, mania, psychosis, and coercion. Map roles, practices, values, and moments of contribution already present without using them to dismiss the reported absence of direction.

Generate more than one possible direction, including ways to deepen an existing commitment and options outside paid work. Test these through bounded real-world activities with defined duration and learning criteria, recording energy, contribution, connection, burden, and willingness to return. A provisional commitment should specify a modest project, available support, acceptable cost, and review date. Revision or discontinuation after review is evidence-based adjustment, not failure.

Table 2. Illustrative 12-week purpose experiment (author-developed; not a validated protocol)

- Weeks 1–2: define purpose in the person's own language and assess symptoms, safety, roles, and constraints.
- Weeks 3–4: identify recurring values and generate three possible domains of expression.
- Weeks 5–8: complete at least one real-world, bounded activity in two domains.
- Record energy, absorption, contribution, connection, burden, and desire to return after each activity.
- Weeks 9–10: choose one provisional direction and design a modest project with support and recovery.
- Weeks 11–12: review evidence, costs, and relationships; continue, adapt, or discontinue deliberately.
- Seek earlier clinical review if mood, sleep, judgment, risk, or functioning changes materially.

Common errors include indefinite reflection without lived evidence, premature commitment to escape uncertainty, mistaking novelty or recognition for importance, and persisting mainly to avoid grief, embarrassment, or sunk cost. Reflection and information gathering should therefore have a boundary. A planned review should compare the original value with observed contribution, burden, effects on health and relationships, and the views of people materially affected. The result may be to continue, adapt, pause, or stop, or to give symptom treatment or practical support priority; neither persistence nor discontinuation is inherently more authentic.

Purpose also becomes brittle when one domain must supply identity, income, intimacy, spirituality, stimulation, and public contribution. A portfolio can distribute meaning across relationships, care, work, learning, community, spirituality, health, creativity, and play. Drawing on evidence concerning uncertainty, short-term mood repair, and procrastination, a bounded experiment is a clinically plausible alternative to waiting for complete conviction; this application to purpose remains an author-developed proposal (Carleton, 2016; Sirois & Pychyl, 2013; Steel, 2007; Rozental et al., 2018).

VII. PURPOSE ACROSS RELATIONSHIPS, WORK, ILLNESS, LOSS, AND OTHER DOMAINS

Shared purpose can organize a couple, family, team, or community without erasing individual aims. Conversation may reveal assumptions about money, time, gender, duty, and recognition, especially where one partner experiences the other's vocation as abandonment and the other experiences requests for availability as constraining. Declaring a calling authentic does not resolve those effects. Partners can make costs and invisible labor explicit, negotiate boundaries, and distinguish temporary concentration from a permanent hierarchy.

Loneliness can reduce access to purpose because contribution and recognition often require social participation. Joining a patient-chosen group around a valued activity may create opportunities for contact, shared action, and

information; it should not be described as inherently more effective than another response. Social anxiety may make participation difficult and can be addressed through graded, consent-based practice and communication work (Haverkamp, 2013).

Work can offer structure, mastery, belonging, and contribution, but it can also be exploitative, insecure, or incompatible with health. Equating purpose with paid employment devalues care, art, community, recovery, and relationship, and the language of calling can obscure workload, autonomy, fairness, and recovery. Before a major change, a bounded career experiment—an interview, short course, sample project, volunteering, or shadowing—can test components of the role while recording both attraction and cost. Financial and caring obligations belong in that appraisal rather than being dismissed as fear.

Illness, disability, and bereavement can interrupt roles and the daily structure through which purpose was expressed. Patients have no obligation to find a gift in suffering; anger and grief may need space before, or alongside, exploration of what remains possible. A commitment can sometimes be adapted in scale or form through knowledge-sharing, companionship, another artistic medium, or episodic participation, supported by accessibility and practical help. Continuing bonds, remembrance, service, and new roles may emerge after loss, but timing is individual. Early absence of direction is not necessarily pathological; persistent severe impairment or suicidal thinking still requires direct clinical assessment.

A portfolio of purpose may include relationships, care, friendship, craft, learning, service, and civic participation without requiring occupational prestige or a public artifact. Each domain should be examined for reciprocity, boundaries, competence, safety, accountability, schedule, recovery, and distribution of labor. A bounded course, reading group, apprenticeship, sample project, requested act of care, or direct contact with a community can test fit. Some activities may properly remain private, restorative, modest, or episodic; converting every interest into income, content, or measurable self-improvement can remove what made it valuable. Table 3 summarizes possible directions, experiments, and risks.

Spirituality and religion may provide ritual, community, moral direction, and transcendence when the person wants this domain included; exclusion, coercion, trauma, and scrupulosity may also make it harmful. Where scrupulosity is suspected, OCD-informed clinical assessment is preferable to repeated reassurance. A patient-chosen, noncoercive faith adviser may clarify ordinary practice but should not replace evidence-based care or become part of a compulsion. Creativity and play offer exploration and communication without requiring every activity to become productive or publicly evaluated.

Table 3. Domains in a portfolio of purpose (author-developed clinical synthesis; not a validated instrument)

Domain	Possible direction	Small experiment	Risk to monitor
Relationship	Be a reliable, honest friend	Initiate one specific meeting and listen without multitasking	Overgiving or dependence on approval
Care	Support wellbeing with dignity	Offer one bounded, requested form of help	Burnout, coercion, invisible labor
Work or craft	Develop and use competence	Complete a sample project or shadow a role	Identity collapse, exploitation, perfectionism
Learning	Remain curious and teachable	Join a short course and apply one skill	Endless preparation without use
Community	Contribute to a shared local need	Attend one organization and ask what is useful	Savior role, unsafe demand, group coercion
Spirituality	Practice connection, reverence, or service	Attend or resume one chosen practice	Scrupulosity, exclusion, imposed belief
Health stewardship	Care for the body within capacity	Follow one agreed medical or rehabilitation action	Moralizing illness or overcontrol
Creativity and play	Make, explore, and experience beauty	Schedule a small private creative session	Turning all play into evaluated output

VIII. PURPOSE, MORALITY, AND COMMUNICATION

A strong purpose is not automatically good. Harmful movements and coercive leaders provide direction, belonging, and significance. Clinical work should examine the effects on others, consent, reality testing, flexibility, and accountability. Commitment does not exempt a goal from ethics.

Purpose can also become a standard for self-worth. “If I am not changing the world, my life is meaningless” combines grandiosity and self-negation. Ordinary contribution, reciprocal care, pleasure, and rest are not failed versions of heroic purpose. A sufficiently meaningful life need not be historically exceptional.

Therapists hold power and should not prescribe their own moral or spiritual framework. Values clarification is not neutral in every detail, but transparency and curiosity reduce imposition. When a patient's values conflict with the therapist's preferences but do not harm others, the patient's authorship takes priority.

Purpose becomes real through communication within the self, between people, and across time. A value is an internal

orientation; a goal translates it into a future state; a promise communicates it to another person; repeated action feeds back information. Breakdowns can occur at each stage. A person may feel concern but label it obligation, declare a goal that does not express the value, or make commitments that cannot be sustained.

Communication-Focused Therapy is an author-developed framework described in earlier JPPC work (Haverkamp, 2017a). Applied to purpose, it asks: What matters here, how might it be communicated, and to whom? Through which action? What response would provide useful information? The framework has not been established as a stand-alone purpose intervention and should supplement evidence-based assessment and treatment.

A practical exercise follows one value through a communication chain. “Care” becomes a message to self—notice the friend’s difficulty; a message to the friend—offer a specific visit; an action—arrive; and feedback—learn what help is useful. If the action repeatedly produces resentment, the value may need a boundary or a different form. Reflection and relationship refine purpose.

Internal contradictions can also be made explicit. “I want freedom” and “I want dependable belonging” are both valid. The task is not to silence one message but to design a life that gives each sufficient expression, accepting that no arrangement maximizes both.

IX. PURPOSE AND THE EXPERIENCE OF TIME

Purpose links present effort to an imagined future, but depression, trauma, unstable housing, serious illness, or repeated discrimination may make that future feel unavailable. Therapy can begin with a shorter horizon—what is worth protecting today, this week, or through the current treatment—without treating near-term direction as inferior. The past also shapes possibility: regret may identify a neglected value, whereas repetitive counterfactual thought can immobilize. Asking what the regret calls the person to honor now, within present reality, permits information and grief without pretending that every loss can be repaired.

Future orientation can itself become avoidance when every relationship and bodily need is sacrificed to later significance. Review should ask whether the value is already expressed in the manner of pursuit. Mortality awareness may clarify priorities or intensify anxiety, so serious-illness conversations require pacing and cultural sensitivity. Near the end of life, purpose may involve presence, legacy, reconciliation, comfort, or choice rather than expansion; psychological worth is not measured by the size of the remaining project.

X. REVISION, OUTCOMES, SAFETY, AND CLINICAL LIMITS

Failure becomes especially threatening when one outcome has been treated as proof of identity. Review should separate the valued direction from its current vehicle, identify controllable learning without assigning total blame, and consider retrying, changing method or scale, expressing the value elsewhere, or ending the goal deliberately. Success can also remove structure after a degree, publication, promotion, or period of care. Integration then includes acknowledging contributors, retaining what mattered, releasing what ended, and resisting pressure to pursue an immediately larger target. Neither persistence nor escalation has moral superiority when fit or cost has changed.

Social and digital narratives compress uncertainty, support, privilege, repetition, and failed attempts into visible stories of calling or impact. Digital media can nevertheless connect people with otherwise unavailable communities and roles. The relevant question is whether use leads to information and participation or to comparison and postponement. Public failure may add shame and withdrawal; selective communication with a trusted person and one concrete repair or learning action can support re-entry. When fear of judgment prevents participation across settings, social-anxiety assessment and treatment may be indicated (Haverkamp, 2013).

Accurate responsibility remains important. Some outcomes reflect preparation and choices; others reflect chance, discrimination, illness, or unavailable opportunity. A balanced review names controllable learning, structural constraints, and loss without requiring a positive interpretation. The same standard applies after success: recognition should not conceal who supplied care, labor, access, or feedback, and it should not make withdrawal of boundaries appear ungrateful.

Purpose work requires humility because a therapist’s assumptions about career, family, spirituality, autonomy, service, and scale can shape questions. The clinician should preserve the patient’s language and authorship, tolerate periods of uncertainty or grief, and avoid neutrality about coercion, exploitation, violence, or impaired reality testing. Each phase should end with a bounded experiment, a deliberate pause, or a decision that symptom treatment or practical support takes priority. Goals can then identify observable expressions, resources, relationships, and acceptable costs without reducing meaning to a score.

Documentation should retain the person’s terms for the direction being tested and distinguish value, goal, experiment, and outcome. It should also record material constraints, consent, people affected, and the review point. This allows a later clinician to understand why an action was chosen without converting a provisional purpose into a diagnostic fact or a

permanent identity. Communication with family, teams, or communities should disclose only what the person has agreed is relevant, except where a clear safety or legal duty applies.

Outcome can include greater presence of meaning and observable organization: clearer priorities, less compulsive searching, sustained chosen action, tolerance of trade-offs, and connection with others. Symptom scores may change independently; a difficult mission can increase stress while remaining valued, whereas persistent deterioration warrants review of its form, health effects, or context. At planned intervals and after major transitions, review what has been learned, which costs remain acceptable, who is affected, and whether to continue, adapt, pause, or end the current goal without treating the deeper direction as invalid.

Grandiose mission, reduced need for sleep, pressured activity, risky spending, unusual certainty, or psychotic beliefs requires prompt psychiatric assessment. Suicidal thinking or inability to meet basic needs takes priority over purpose exercises. Coercive groups may exploit the need for meaning through isolation, financial demand, or absolute authority; clinicians should ask about autonomy and harm.

Purpose exercises cannot compensate for absent material resources. Housing, income, healthcare, accessibility, education, and freedom from violence shape available choices. Supporting purpose may include referral, advocacy, or accommodation. The patient should not be blamed for constrained opportunity.

Purpose constructs overlap, measures rely largely on self-report, and observational associations do not establish causality. Samples and ideals may be culturally narrow, and intervention research specific to purpose is less mature than work on broader meaning-centered or acceptance-based therapies. The clinical sequence presented here is therefore a synthesis rather than a validated protocol. Future research should distinguish purpose from optimism and conscientiousness, test mechanisms prospectively, include people with disability, severe illness, socioeconomic constraint, and diverse cultural traditions, and measure adverse effects such as overcommitment and self-blame as well as wellbeing.

XI. CONCLUSION

Life purpose is not necessarily one concealed answer. It is a future-oriented, valued direction expressed through goals, relationships, and repeated choices. Purpose can be plural, developmental, culturally embedded, and revised. Research associates it with wellbeing and health, but causal promises are not justified. Clinical work first assesses symptoms, safety, loss, and constraints, then clarifies values, maps existing meaning, tests several domains, and makes a provisional commitment. Purpose is developed through communication

and action as well as reflection. The aim is sufficient direction for the next chapter—not certainty about an entire life, heroic productivity, or immunity from suffering.

XII. DISCLOSURES

Conflicts of interest—The author developed Communication-Focused Therapy and has related publications. It is identified here as an author-developed supplementary framework and is not presented as a replacement for established evidence-based treatment. No other conflicts were reported.

Funding—No specific funding was reported for this article.

Author contributions—Christian Jonathan Haverkamp is the sole named author and is responsible for the conception, literature review, manuscript preparation, and approval of the final version.

Patient material—No patient vignette or identifiable patient material is presented.

XIII. REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed., text rev.; DSM-5-TR). American Psychiatric Association Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787>
- Boreham, I. D., & Schutte, N. S. (2023). The relationship between purpose in life and depression and anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 79(12), 2736–2767. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.23576>
- Carleton, R. N. (2016). Fear of the unknown: One fear to rule them all? *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 41, 5–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2016.03.011>
- Cohen, R., Bavishi, C., & Rozanski, A. (2016). Purpose in life and its relationship to all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events: A meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 78(2), 122–133. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000274>
- Dimidjian, S., Hollon, S. D., Dobson, K. S., Schmalting, K. B., Kohlenberg, R. J., Addis, M. E., Gallop, R., McGlinchey, J. B., Markley, D. K., Gollan, J. K., Atkins, D. C., Dunner, D. L., & Jacobson, N. S. (2006). Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74(4), 658–670. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.74.4.658>
- Gollwitzer, P. M., & Sheeran, P. (2006). Implementation intentions and goal achievement: A meta-analysis of effects and processes. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 38, 69–119. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(06\)38002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(06)38002-1)
- Haverkamp, C. J. (2013). A case of social anxiety. *J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication*, 2(1), 14–20.
- Haverkamp, C. J. (2017a). Communication-Focused Therapy (CFT) for anxiety and panic attacks. *J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication*, 6(4), 91–95.
- Haverkamp, C. J. (2017b). Treatment-resistant anxiety. *J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication*, 6(3), 61–67.

Haverkamp, C. J. (2024). Finding a Life Purpose: Meaning, Choice, and Psychological Flexibility. *J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication*, 2024 Mar 31;13(1):1-8

- Hayes, S. C., Wilson, K. G., Gifford, E. V., Follette, V. M., & Strosahl, K. (1996). Experiential avoidance and behavioral disorders: A functional dimensional approach to diagnosis and treatment. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 64(6), 1152–1168. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.64.6.1152>
- Hill, P. L., & Turiano, N. A. (2014). Purpose in life as a predictor of mortality across adulthood. *Psychological Science*, 25(7), 1482–1486. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614531799>
- Levin, M. E., Hildebrandt, M. J., Lillis, J., & Hayes, S. C. (2012). The impact of treatment components suggested by the psychological flexibility model: A meta-analysis of laboratory-based component studies. *Behavior Therapy*, 43(4), 741–756. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2012.05.003>
- Martela, F., & Steger, M. F. (2016). The three meanings of meaning in life: Distinguishing coherence, purpose, and significance. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 11(5), 531–545. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2015.1137623>
- McKnight, P. E., & Kashdan, T. B. (2009). Purpose in life as a system that creates and sustains health and well-being: An integrative, testable theory. *Review of General Psychology*, 13(3), 242–251. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017152>
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Wisco, B. E., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). Rethinking rumination. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 3(5), 400–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2008.00088.x>
- Rozental, A., Bennett, S., Forsström, D., Ebert, D. D., Shafran, R., Andersson, G., & Carlbring, P. (2018). Targeting procrastination using psychological treatments: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1588. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01588>
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57(6), 1069–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.6.1069>
- Sirois, F. M., & Pychyl, T. A. (2013). Procrastination and the priority of short-term mood regulation: Consequences for future self. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7(2), 115–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12011>
- Steel, P. (2007). The nature of procrastination: A meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(1), 65–94. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.65>
- Steger, M. F., Frazier, P., Oishi, S., & Kaler, M. (2006). The meaning in life questionnaire: Assessing the presence of and search for meaning in life. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 53(1), 80–93. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.53.1.80>
- Watkins, E. R. (2008). Constructive and unconstructive repetitive thought. *Psychological Bulletin*, 134(2), 163–206. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.134.2.163>