

Christian Jonathan Haverkamp

Adult Rape: Epidemiology, Clinical Sequelae, Assessment, and Treatment

Narrative Clinical Review

Abstract—Rape in adulthood is a violation with medical, psychological, relational, social, and legal consequences, but it does not produce one clinical trajectory. This narrative clinical review integrates evidence and guidance publicly available through 30 August 2023. It distinguishes the exposure from any subsequent diagnosis; summarizes prevalence and measurement limits; and sets out consent-led acute care, longitudinal assessment, and treatment. Immediate priorities are the patient's safety, urgent health needs, control over each examination step, and access to time-sensitive pregnancy and infection care. Forensic options should be explained without making compassionate treatment contingent on reporting to police. Absence of injury, delayed presentation, fragmented recall, or calm affect neither proves nor disproves rape. Assessment should consider acute stress, post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety, dissociation, substance use, suicidality, sleep, pain, sexual health, traumatic brain injury, and social circumstances while also recognizing recovery without disorder. Trauma-focused psychotherapies are effective for established PTSD; selection, timing, and pacing should follow symptoms, safety, readiness, and patient preference rather than a compulsory narrative. Social reactions matter: disbelief, blame, pressure, and loss of control can compound distress, whereas validation, practical support, transparent communication, and a reliable therapeutic relationship can support agency. Partner involvement may help when chosen and safe, but the patient controls disclosure and participation. Clinically appropriate care combines competent health care with caution about evidentiary inferences, relational safety, and ongoing respect for patient choice.

Index Terms—adult rape, sexual assault, post-traumatic stress disorder, medical forensic examination, trauma-focused psychotherapy, disclosure, therapeutic alliance, partner communication

I. INTRODUCTION

Rape in adulthood can affect bodily safety, health, self-trust, relationships, housing, work, and the ordinary

expectation of moving through the world without coercion. Yet the event does not dictate one response. A patient may arrive frightened and visibly distressed, composed and practical, intoxicated, angry, uncertain, physically injured, focused on pregnancy or infection, or concerned mainly about reaching home safely. Some develop post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, substance problems, sexual difficulties, or persistent pain; others have transient symptoms or recover without a mental disorder. Clinical seriousness should not depend on a stereotyped emotional display.

The terms rape and sexual assault are not interchangeable across surveys and jurisdictions. This review focuses on rape occurring at age 18 or later, while recognizing that medical services often respond to a wider range of non-consensual sexual acts. CDC surveillance definitions distinguish completed or attempted penetration, being made to penetrate another person, sexual coercion, unwanted sexual contact, and non-contact experiences (Basile et al., 2014). Legal definitions may be narrower or differently organized. A clinician should document the patient's words and relevant acts rather than translate prematurely into a legal conclusion.

Good care depends on distinguishing three functions. The exposure is not a diagnosis; the medical examination is not a credibility test; and treatment is not an investigation. These functions can inform one another without being collapsed. A patient may need urgent contraception, infection prevention, injury care, forensic choices, crisis support, safeguarding, and later psychotherapy, but no single service controls all of those outcomes. The most useful care preserves agency while coordinating what is time-sensitive.

Communication is part of the clinical intervention because rape centrally involves disregard for consent and control. Explaining choices, seeking permission again when the task changes, accepting a pause, and stating the limits of confidentiality are not courtesies added after technical care; they help determine whether technical care is usable. The same principle extends to later therapy and partner involvement. Support should widen the patient's choices rather than substitute professional urgency for the assailant's coercion.

Christian Jonathan Haverkamp, M.D., LL.M., is a psychotherapist working in private practice in Dublin, Ireland. Correspondence: jonathanhaverkamp@gmail.com. Website: www.jonathanhaverkamp.com. © 2023 Christian Jonathan Haverkamp.

II. SCOPE AND REVIEW METHOD

This is a narrative clinical review of rape occurring in adulthood. It includes assaults by intimate partners, acquaintances, dates, family members, strangers, and persons with institutional or professional power. It addresses acute and delayed presentations, although it is not a substitute for a local sexual-assault protocol, forensic training, infectious-disease guidance, or legal advice. Survivor is used when emphasizing lived experience and agency; patient is used for clinical decisions. Neither term implies that a person must adopt a particular identity or recovery narrative.

Sources were limited to material publicly available by 30 August 2023. Online-first work available by that date was eligible; material first released later was not. Searches emphasized population surveillance, health and psychiatric sequelae, acute medical and forensic care, disclosure and social response, assessment instruments, post-traumatic stress treatment, therapeutic relationship, and partner or social context. Priority was given to CDC, WHO, US Department of Justice, NICE, APA, VA/DoD, and ACOG guidance, together with systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and controlled trials.

The evidence base imposes limits. Definitions vary by sex, gender, penetration, incapacitation, force, age, and relationship to the assailant. Many clinical studies recruit women from specialist services and combine adult rape with other sexual violence or earlier victimization. Treatment trials commonly enroll participants with PTSD rather than all patients after rape; their conclusions therefore concern a condition, not the inevitable response to an event. US medical-forensic guidance is not automatically transferable to other legal or health systems. Local policy and any guidance revised after the cutoff should be checked before practice.

This review does not adjudicate allegations, provide a forensic interview script, or prescribe whether a patient should report, leave a relationship, tell a partner, or pursue prosecution. Its purpose is to identify what clinicians can assess and offer: immediate safety and health care, accurate explanation, diagnosis where criteria are met, treatment matched to the active problem, and continuity that protects both dignity and choice.

III. DEFINITIONS, EPIDEMIOLOGY, AND MEASUREMENT

Behaviorally specific definitions are essential because a single label can conceal different acts. CDC's uniform definitions include completed or attempted forced penetration, alcohol- or drug-facilitated penetration, and being made to penetrate another person; they also separate sexual coercion and unwanted sexual contact (Basile et al., 2014). This

distinction improves surveillance by capturing experiences—including being made to penetrate—that measures limited to penetration of the victim may miss. It does not resolve every legal question. Clinical records should state what the patient reports, what the clinician observed, and which terminology belongs to the patient, service, or statute.

US population surveillance demonstrates that sexual violence is common and disproportionately reported by women, while also documenting victimization of men (Smith et al., 2018). Campus prevalence reviews show how estimates change with sampling frame, question wording, response rate, time period, and incapacitation criteria (Fedina et al., 2018). International comparison adds further variation in law, stigma, conflict, service access, and survey safety. A prevalence estimate cannot determine whether an individual event occurred, and an apparently low rate in a group may reflect measurement or disclosure barriers rather than protection.

Most rape is not adequately understood as a stranger attack. Intimate-partner and acquaintance assaults may involve prior consensual sex, continued contact, shared housing, children, finances, immigration status, employment, or social networks. Consent to one act or occasion does not imply consent to another. Incapacitation may result from alcohol, drugs, illness, disability, sleep, or deliberate administration of a substance. A patient's uncertainty about sequence, resistance, or terminology is compatible with a genuine need for care and should prompt clarification without interrogation.

Under-reporting to police and under-use of health services mean that official counts describe system contact, not total occurrence. Barriers include fear of disbelief, self-blame, concern about confidentiality, dependence on the assailant, anticipated discrimination, uncertain legal status, previous harmful care, and a wish to avoid an investigation. Economic analysis estimates a substantial lifetime societal burden from rape, but its greater clinical value is to identify domains often missed by symptom counts: health care, lost productivity, criminal-justice contact, and diminished quality of life (Peterson et al., 2017).

Table 1. Separate constructs that are often conflated after adult rape (author-developed narrative synthesis; nonvalidated)

Construct	Clinical question	What it cannot establish alone
Reported exposure	What acts, circumstances, and lack of consent does the patient describe?	A psychiatric diagnosis or legal finding
Medical findings	What injury, infection, pregnancy, pain, or other health need is present?	Whether penetration or consent occurred in every case
Forensic evidence	What material can be collected, preserved, and interpreted under protocol?	The whole event or the patient's credibility

Construct	Clinical question	What it cannot establish alone
Psychiatric symptoms	What current syndrome, risk, and impairment require care?	Proof of rape or a universal trauma response
Legal classification	How does the relevant jurisdiction define and evaluate an offence?	What health care or compassion the patient deserves

IV. ACUTE TRIAGE, CONSENT-LED CARE, AND FOLLOW-UP

Acute care begins with threats to life and health, not with a complete narrative. Assess major bleeding, head or neck injury, loss of consciousness, strangulation, respiratory difficulty, severe pain, intoxication or withdrawal, poisoning, pregnancy concerns, and urgent psychiatric risk. Rape may occur alongside physical assault or coercive control, and apparent fatigue or confusion may have medical, substance-related, dissociative, or sleep-deprivation explanations. Emergency treatment should not be delayed to preserve an ideal forensic sequence.

Privacy needs active management. Ask whether accompanying persons are safe and whether the patient wants them present. Confirm a safe way to call, leave messages, supply written material, bill, and arrange follow-up; a phone or patient portal may be monitored. If the assailant is a current or former partner, assess ongoing access, stalking, threats, weapons, children or other dependents, housing, transport, and retaliation. WHO first-line guidance emphasizes listening, asking about needs, validating, enhancing safety, and supporting access to services rather than compelling a course of action (World Health Organization, 2013).

The patient may prioritize a shower, pain relief, sleep, contraception, infection prevention, evidence collection, contact with a trusted person, or simply an explanation of options. Some priorities conflict with forensic preservation, but the clinician should describe the tradeoff without using fear or blame. A patient can accept medical care and decline an examination component, evidence collection, photographs, medication, advocacy, or police contact. Consent is specific and revisable, including after a procedure has begun.

Capacity should be assessed for the decision at hand. Intoxication, shock, developmental disability, mental illness, or communication difficulty does not automatically eliminate capacity, and a patient who temporarily lacks capacity may regain it. Local law governs emergency exceptions, substitute decision-making, mandatory reporting, and evidence storage. When time allows, waiting for meaningful consent may be better than proceeding with an invasive non-emergency step. Record the reason for any urgent action and the choices offered.

A sexual-assault medical forensic examination combines related but distinct purposes: treating health needs, documenting history and findings, and collecting evidence when authorized. The US national protocol recommends trained examiners, informed consent throughout, attention to privacy, and coordinated advocacy and follow-up (U.S. Department of Justice, 2013). Protocol time windows vary with jurisdiction, evidence type, technology, and circumstances. A clinician should not tell a patient that it is too late without checking the applicable service.

Explain each step before contact: why it is proposed, what it involves, possible discomfort, alternatives, and whether it can be stopped. Obtain permission again as the examination moves from conversation to clothing, photographs, swabs, blood samples, or genital and anal examination. Offer a support person or chaperone according to patient preference and policy. Positioning, pace, the clinician's gender where a choice is available, and the option to self-collect some samples can matter. An examination that reproduces powerlessness may remain technically complete yet clinically poor.

Documentation should be objective, legible, and source-specific. Use quotation marks for brief material statements when exact wording matters; distinguish the patient's account from examination findings and from clinician inference. Document normal as well as abnormal findings, relevant treatment, specimens, transfers, and the chain of custody. Avoid loaded labels such as alleged victim in ordinary clinical prose and avoid conclusions outside expertise. A normal examination is common and does not determine whether rape occurred; many assaults cause no visible injury, and injuries heal.

Medical care should not be contingent on evidence collection or police reporting. Where anonymous or delayed reporting and evidence storage are available, explain them accurately. If they are not available, say so without implying that one choice is medically superior. ACOG guidance likewise treats sexual assault as a health-care concern requiring trauma-informed evaluation, treatment, and referral (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2019). The patient's future evidential options are best protected through accurate information and competent collection, not pressure.

Health assessment should be guided by the acts described, anatomy involved, time since exposure, symptoms, reproductive potential, vaccination history, local epidemiology, and patient preference. Possible needs include pregnancy testing and emergency contraception; baseline and follow-up testing for sexually transmitted infections; empiric antimicrobial prophylaxis under protocol; hepatitis B vaccination or immunoglobulin where indicated; and assessment for HIV post-exposure prophylaxis. HIV prophylaxis is time-sensitive and should be discussed

promptly with reference to current specialist guidance and exposure risk. The 2021 CDC STI guidelines include recommendations for adolescent and adult survivors, but drug choice, timing, pregnancy, allergies, resistance patterns, and follow-up require current local protocols (Workowski et al., 2021).

Pain, bleeding, urinary or bowel symptoms, oral injury, musculoskeletal injury, concussion, strangulation, and sleep disruption deserve direct attention. Genital findings alone are an incomplete map of harm. Patients may minimize head injury or strangulation when no mark is visible, and delayed airway, neurologic, or vascular concerns require an appropriate emergency pathway. Toxicology collection has short and substance-specific windows; it should follow consent and forensic protocol rather than broad screening that can later be misinterpreted.

Follow-up is a clinical intervention, not an administrative afterthought. It permits review of results, completion of vaccinations, repeat infection or pregnancy testing where indicated, medication tolerance, wound and pain care, mental-health symptoms, safety, sleep, and practical barriers. The first visit may contain too much information to absorb. Written choices in the patient's preferred language, a safe contact plan, and named responsibility for results reduce the risk that a referral becomes a dead end.

Delayed presenters still warrant care. Pregnancy, infection, pain, trauma symptoms, safety, and documentation can remain relevant after evidence windows close. A patient may seek help years later during a new relationship, pregnancy, pelvic procedure, anniversary, court process, or period of apparently unrelated anxiety. The clinician should address the current purpose and avoid conveying that missed acute opportunities make later health care futile.

V. PSYCHIATRIC SEQUELAE, DIFFERENTIAL, AND MEASUREMENT

A meta-analysis found adult sexual assault associated with a broad range of psychopathology, with substantial heterogeneity across samples and outcomes (Dworkin et al., 2017). Post-traumatic symptoms may include involuntary memories, nightmares, avoidance, altered beliefs, shame, guilt, emotional numbing, hypervigilance, sleep disturbance, and exaggerated threat perception. Depression, panic, generalized anxiety, dissociation, substance misuse, eating disturbance, sexual problems, somatic symptoms, and suicidality may occur alone or together. None is specific to rape, and absence of disorder does not minimize the violation.

The first days and weeks often bring changing reactions rather than a stable syndrome. Acute stress symptoms can be severe without predicting chronic PTSD. Some responses are adaptive in context: avoiding the assailant, checking locks,

seeking company, or suspending sexual contact may increase safety or restore control. Concern arises when symptoms are dangerous, persist, generalize, or substantially impair valued activity. The assessment should ask what changed, what function the response serves, and what the patient wants help with.

PTSD is one possible diagnosis, not the name of the event. DSM-5 requires a qualifying exposure plus symptom clusters, duration, impairment, and exclusions (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). ICD-11 complex PTSD may be relevant after prolonged or repeated interpersonal trauma when PTSD symptoms coexist with persistent affect regulation, negative self-concept, and relationship disturbance; the International Trauma Questionnaire provides a structured self-report aligned with that model (Cloitre et al., 2018). Neither construct should be inferred solely from severity or relationship to the assailant.

Comorbidity changes treatment priorities. Intoxication and withdrawal can heighten immediate risk; self-harm, suicidality, psychosis, mania, severe depression, and eating or medical compromise may require concurrent or initial intervention. Pain, sleep loss, traumatic brain injury, medication effects, pregnancy, and infection can mimic or amplify psychiatric symptoms. A formulation that labels all distress as trauma risks missing treatable conditions, while one that ignores the assault can mistake contextual fear or shame for an isolated disorder.

Diagnostic assessment should establish timing, symptom clusters, impairment, prior episodes, other trauma, current danger, substance use, medical contributors, social response, and protective relationships. Ask about sleep, concentration, work or study, caregiving, bodily symptoms, sexual health, and avoidance as well as the headline complaint. A patient may decline details not needed for clinical formulation. Requiring a minute-by-minute account can increase burden without improving diagnosis.

The differential includes acute stress disorder, PTSD, major depression, anxiety disorders, substance-induced conditions, adjustment disorder, dissociative disorders, sleep disorders, traumatic brain injury, psychosis, and normative or subthreshold post-traumatic distress. Prior mental illness can recur after assault without being caused entirely by it. Conversely, a pre-existing diagnosis does not explain away victimization. Symptoms should be dated relative to the assault and to ongoing contact, litigation, medical procedures, or other stressors.

Validated measures can support but not replace the interview. The PCL-5 has evidence as a DSM-5 PTSD self-report measure and can track symptoms over time (Blevins et al., 2015). The CAPS-5 is a clinician-administered diagnostic instrument with initial psychometric support (Weathers et al.,

2018). Screening thresholds vary by purpose and population. A score cannot establish that rape occurred, attribute symptoms to one event, determine credibility, or decide readiness for trauma processing.

Measurement should include the outcome being treated. If the active problem is depression, alcohol use, pelvic pain, sexual avoidance, panic, or loss of work, a PTSD total alone will miss important change. Review risk and function even when scores improve. A patient's goals may be sleeping, returning to study, tolerating a medical examination, setting boundaries, reconnecting with a partner, or deciding how to handle contact with the assailant. Those outcomes can be documented without making recovery conform to one scale.

Table 2. Diagnostic guardrails after adult rape (author-developed narrative synthesis; nonvalidated)

Observation	Possible meanings	Guardrail
Fragmented or changing recall	Stress, intoxication, encoding, ordinary memory limits, new retrieval, or error	Clarify clinically necessary facts without treating narrative form as a credibility test
Calm, flat, or incongruent affect	Coping style, dissociation, exhaustion, medication, culture, or individual variation	Do not require visible distress for care or diagnosis
Persistent fear and avoidance	PTSD, ongoing danger, adaptive protection, panic, or another condition	Assess criteria, context, function, duration, and safety
Continued contact with assailant	Dependence, children, work, threat, attachment, strategy, or choice	Do not infer consent or absence of harm
Positive PTSD screen	Clinically important symptoms requiring fuller assessment	Do not use a screening score as proof of the event

VI. DISCLOSURE, SOCIAL RESPONSE, SAFETY, AND CONTINUITY

Disclosure is a relational event as well as transfer of information. Patients often anticipate blame, disbelief, loss of privacy, pressure to report, or changes in how others see them. A disclosure may therefore be partial, delayed, indirect, or revised. The first response need not extract detail. It can acknowledge what was said, state that the assault was not the patient's fault, ask what is needed now, explain confidentiality, and offer choices. Questions beginning with why can sound accusatory even when the clinician seeks context.

Social reactions are associated with mental-health outcomes. A meta-analysis found negative reactions to interpersonal-violence disclosure related to worse psychopathology, while positive reactions showed more modest beneficial associations (Dworkin et al., 2019). In a cross-sectional study of sexual-assault survivors, social reactions, coping, and perceived control were associated with

PTSD symptoms (Ullman & Peter-Hagene, 2014). These observational findings do not prove that one response causes an individual's course, but they support avoiding blame, disbelief, takeover, and repeated demands for explanation.

Validation is compatible with clinical accuracy. A clinician can say that the patient deserved safety and that the account is being taken seriously without claiming forensic certainty outside the clinical role. Transparent uncertainty is often safer than vague reassurance: explain what an examination can show, what a symptom score means, when results will return, and which decisions are not the clinician's. Correcting an earlier misunderstanding and inviting the patient to correct the record can strengthen rather than weaken trust.

The therapeutic alliance becomes visible in small acts of reliability: beginning and ending as agreed, remembering the patient's goals, asking before changing focus, documenting preferences, and following through on referrals. Rape may make closeness, authority, and bodily attention feel dangerous. A patient may test whether disagreement is permitted, miss appointments, or alternate disclosure with withdrawal. Interpreting these patterns only as resistance can repeat blame; collaborative discussion can distinguish avoidance, practical barriers, safety, and a treatment mismatch.

Supportive communication is not a promise that talking is always helpful. Repeated unsolicited questioning, compulsory group disclosure, confrontation with the assailant, or encouragement to tell a partner before the patient is ready can increase exposure and loss of control. The clinically useful question is not how to make the patient speak, but what conditions would make communication safe, purposeful, and owned by the patient.

Safety assessment extends beyond the completed assault. Ask whether the assailant knows where the patient is, has access through home, work, education, transport, children, technology, or shared networks, and has threatened retaliation, stalking, image distribution, self-harm, homicide, or further sexual violence. A stranger assault may still lead to identification fears or community exposure. An intimate-partner assault may occur within a broader pattern of coercive control. Safety planning should be individualized and linked to specialist advocacy rather than reduced to a generic instruction to leave.

Psychiatric safety includes suicidal thoughts, self-harm, severe intoxication, withdrawal, inability to care for dependents, psychosis, and acute dissociation that impairs safe travel or consent. Ask directly and respond proportionately. Hospitalization may be necessary for imminent risk but should not be used simply because a patient is distressed after rape. Explain any loss of choice, who will be contacted, and what alternatives were considered.

Safeguarding duties vary with jurisdiction, age, disability, institutional setting, risk to children or dependent adults, and professional role. Because this review concerns adults, disclosure does not automatically authorize contact with family, a partner, employer, police, or another service. Before asking for sensitive detail, explain relevant limits of confidentiality and mandatory-reporting duties. If a report is required, tell the patient what will be shared, with whom, and what happens next unless doing so would create a specific legal or safety conflict.

Safety can also be material. Housing, immigration status, money, work shifts, childcare, transport, medication access, and digital privacy may determine whether a clinical plan is possible. Referral lists are not enough if the patient cannot safely store them or reach the service. With consent, coordinated contact among the sexual-assault service, primary care, advocacy, mental health, and relevant safeguarding agencies can reduce repetition. Share the minimum information needed and do not assume every service requires the full narrative.

Risk should be revisited. Police contact, evidence results, pregnancy, a court date, separation, return to work, social-media activity, or disclosure to family can change danger. A plan that was appropriate at the acute visit may not remain so. Scheduled follow-up gives the patient a route back before risk becomes a crisis and communicates that care does not expire with the forensic window.

VII. EARLY CARE AND TREATMENT OF ESTABLISHED CONDITIONS

Early psychological care should reduce immediate distress, support sleep and practical functioning, strengthen safe connection, and monitor emerging symptoms without imposing a disorder. Provide clear information about common reactions and about when to seek further help, while avoiding predictions of permanent damage. Practical advocacy, medical follow-up, and protection from the assailant may be more urgent than formal trauma processing. The patient can choose whom to involve and how much to discuss.

Routine single-session psychological debriefing or compulsory detailed recounting is not recommended as prevention of PTSD. NICE advises active monitoring or trauma-focused cognitive behavioral treatment according to symptom severity and timing rather than universal intervention (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2018). A small randomized pilot suggested that a modified prolonged-exposure intervention delivered soon after trauma might reduce later symptoms, including in a rape subgroup, but the study was not sufficient to make immediate exposure a universal post-rape requirement (Rothbaum et al., 2012). Eligibility, expertise, consent, and replication matter.

Brief care can include grounding chosen by the patient, sleep and substance assessment, help identifying safe support, a written plan for predictable triggers, and direct access to follow-up. Medication may be used for a diagnosed condition or a specific short-term indication, but it should not be presented as erasing the event. Sedating medication warrants particular caution when the patient has head injury, respiratory risk, substance use, or needs to make safety decisions.

Watchful waiting still requires active follow-up. Agree what will be monitored, when contact will occur, and how the patient can return sooner. New or persistent intrusions, avoidance, depression, panic, dissociation, substance escalation, suicidality, inability to work or care for dependents, or severe relationship disruption warrant reassessment. Patients who recover without specialist therapy should not be required to demonstrate symptoms to validate the assault.

For adults with established PTSD, systematic reviews support trauma-focused psychotherapies, including forms of cognitive behavioral therapy, cognitive processing therapy, prolonged exposure, and eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (Cusack et al., 2016; Lewis et al., 2020). APA, NICE, and VA/DoD guidelines all recommend evidence-based trauma-focused options, although their grading methods and precise recommendations differ (American Psychological Association, 2017; National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2018; U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs & U.S. Department of Defense, 2023). Treatment should be offered as a choice among appropriate options, not as a test of courage or credibility.

Evidence from female rape survivors demonstrates that cognitive processing therapy and prolonged exposure can produce durable PTSD improvement, with gains maintained over long follow-up among assessed participants (Resick et al., 2012). The finding is important but bounded: trial participants met treatment criteria, and group averages do not predict an individual's preferred method, pace, or outcome. A patient who declines one trauma-focused method has not declined all treatment. Provide an understandable rationale, expected tasks, alternatives, likely discomfort, and a plan for monitoring.

Complex presentations do not automatically require indefinite stabilization before trauma-focused work. A component network meta-analysis found trauma-focused interventions effective for PTSD and comorbid mental-health problems after complex trauma, with multicomponent approaches promising for disturbances in self-organization, although evidence for some components and outcomes remained limited (Coventry et al., 2020). Clinicians should address immediate danger, severe instability, and practical barriers, then revisit readiness collaboratively. Pacing can change without abandoning a coherent treatment target.

Comorbid depression, substance use, chronic pain, insomnia, sexual dysfunction, and anxiety may need integrated or parallel treatment. Waiting for complete abstinence or complete mood recovery before any trauma work can withhold effective care; proceeding without a plan for intoxication, withdrawal, suicidality, or medical compromise can be unsafe. Sequence decisions should identify what most threatens safety, participation, and function, and they should be reviewed as those conditions change.

A socio-interpersonal model of PTSD highlights how social acknowledgment, conflict, disclosure, and close relationships interact with symptoms (Maercker & Horn, 2013). This does not make a partner responsible for curing PTSD. It supports assessing whether the social environment enables sleep, boundaries, treatment attendance, and valued activity, and whether therapy should include carefully chosen relational work. The central outcome remains the patient's functioning and agency, not compliance with an idealized recovery story.

Table 3. Match intervention to the active problem rather than the exposure label (author-developed narrative synthesis; nonvalidated)

Active problem	Evidence-informed response	Clinical caution
Acute distress without persistent disorder	Support, safety, practical care, psychoeducation, and active follow-up	Do not require immediate detailed trauma processing
Established PTSD	Offer an evidence-based trauma-focused psychotherapy with shared choice and outcome monitoring	Do not make one protocol the only acceptable route
Complex PTSD features	Address PTSD and disturbances in self-organization with coherent, paced treatment	Do not infer complex PTSD from exposure alone
Depression, substance use, pain, or sleep disorder	Treat directly and coordinate sequencing with trauma care	Do not relabel every problem as PTSD
Ongoing danger or coercion	Safety, advocacy, material support, and symptom care in parallel	Do not use therapy to adapt the patient to continuing assault

VIII. COMMUNICATION, SEXUALITY, AND PARTNER RELATIONSHIPS

Rape can alter communication with oneself as well as with others. Patients may repeat the assailant's blame, distrust bodily signals, or interpret involuntary arousal, freezing, appeasement, or lack of resistance as consent. Clinicians can explain that physiological response is not consent and that survival responses are not moral choices. Cognitive work can examine self-blaming meanings without cross-examining the patient. Compassionate internal language need not demand

positive thinking; it can help place responsibility where it belongs and widen the patient's sense of choice.

A current partner may be a major source of safety, practical help, and affection, or may respond with disbelief, anger, sexual pressure, overprotection, or demands for detail. The patient decides whether, when, and how the partner is involved. Before joint work, assess privately whether the partner is also the assailant, is coercive, or might retaliate. Couple communication exercises are contraindicated when candor creates danger. Even a supportive partner needs guidance not to make their own distress the patient's burden.

When involvement is wanted and safe, a focused session can clarify consent, triggers, privacy, touch, pacing, and how to respond to distress. The objective is not rapid resumption of sex. It is shared respect for boundaries and the ability to negotiate closeness without obligation. A patient may want affection without sexual contact, may initiate and then stop, or may prefer not to explain every change. Partners can learn that checking in is not fragility and that a no does not require a trauma narrative.

Sexual difficulties deserve direct, non-assumptive assessment. Pain, avoidance, low desire, intrusive memories, numbness, compulsive sexual behavior, erectile or orgasmic difficulty, and fear of examinations may arise, but none is inevitable. Consider injury, infection, pelvic-floor dysfunction, medication effects, depression, menopause, pregnancy, relationship context, and prior sexual functioning. Integrated gynecologic, urologic, pelvic-health, sexual-health, or psychosexual referral may be appropriate. Treatment should not define recovery as heterosexual intercourse or any particular frequency of sex.

Communication with family, friends, employers, universities, and legal professionals also needs boundaries. The patient may benefit from rehearsing a limited disclosure, a request for accommodation, or a response to intrusive questions. Good support respects the right not to disclose. A clinician letter should share only what is authorized and necessary, distinguish clinical findings from the patient's report, and avoid predicting legal outcomes.

IX. EQUITY AND SYSTEM RESPONSE

Rape services must be prepared for patients of every sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, age, race, ethnicity, religion, disability, and socioeconomic position. Men may encounter myths that physiology implies consent or that victimization determines sexuality. Trans and nonbinary patients may face misgendering, anatomical assumptions, or fear that reporting will expose identity. Older or disabled adults may depend on the assailant for care, communication, transport, or housing. Inclusive questions should concern

anatomy and acts relevant to care rather than forcing identity into a binary template.

Racism, immigration enforcement, criminalization, poverty, and prior mistreatment can make institutions feel dangerous. The assailant may exploit these realities, threatening police, deportation, outing, loss of children, or withdrawal of care. A generic instruction to report can therefore increase risk. Explain available advocacy, interpretation, confidentiality, costs, and legal limits, and ask which services the patient considers safe. Interpreters should be trained, independent, and acceptable to the patient; accompanying family members should not interpret a rape history.

Institutional assaults add conflicts of interest. Universities, prisons, military organizations, hospitals, residential settings, workplaces, and faith or sport organizations may control records, discipline, housing, employment, or access to care. A patient should know the clinician's reporting line and whether the record is accessible to the institution. Independent advocacy and external referral may be necessary. The WHO humanitarian clinical guide similarly emphasizes survivor-centered protocols, privacy, safety, and coordinated health response in resource-constrained and crisis settings (World Health Organization, 2020).

Equity also applies to evidence. Much rape-treatment research has centered cisgender women who can reach specialist care; extrapolation to marginalized patients should be transparent. Adaptation may involve language, accessibility, transport, session format, culturally meaningful supports, or integration with primary care. It should preserve consent, the active treatment ingredients, and outcome monitoring. Scarcity is not a reason to lower standards of respect or make disclosure the price of entry.

X. LIMITATIONS, FOLLOW-UP, AND RESEARCH NEEDS

The literature cannot yield a single prevalence, symptom profile, or optimal pathway for all adult rape. Surveillance definitions differ, and studies often combine rape with other sexual violence, childhood exposure, or intimate-partner violence. Retrospective reports can estimate association but not reconstruct every causal path. Service samples over-represent people who can or choose to reach care. Trials commonly exclude severe comorbidity and measure PTSD more often than safety, sexual health, social function, or adverse effects. A 2023 systematic review found only 13 eligible studies—and only four judged satisfactory—on measured functional impairment after adult sexual assault, underscoring both reported psychological, sexual, physical, and social restrictions and how little robust functional evidence exists (Schalk et al., 2023).

Follow-up should therefore be multidimensional. Review safety, medical results, pregnancy and infection needs, sleep,

pain, substance use, suicidality, PTSD and mood symptoms, work or study, relationships, and treatment access. Ask what has improved and what has become harder. A court date, contact from the assailant, a medical procedure, or a partner's reaction may change needs after initial symptoms settle. Recovery can coexist with grief, anger, or episodic distress.

Research should report the acts and age at exposure, assailant relationship, time since rape, prior trauma, ongoing danger, gender and sexual diversity, race and ethnicity, disability, and socioeconomic barriers without fragmenting samples beyond interpretation. Treatment studies need adverse-event reporting, longer follow-up, patient-defined outcomes, and analyses of who can access and complete care. Acute studies should distinguish feasible support and monitoring from compulsory debriefing and should not treat non-participation as pathology.

Relational mechanisms deserve careful study without overstating causation. Negative social responses, institutional betrayal, therapeutic alliance, and partner support are plausible and measurable influences, but they interact with assault severity, prior vulnerability, material resources, and continuing danger. Future work should test whether improving specific responses changes outcomes and for whom. Until then, avoiding blame and preserving choice are justified by ethics, clinical guidance, and the direction of available evidence, even when an exact effect size is unavailable.

Five propositions can guide evaluation. Exposure and diagnosis require separate measures. Medical, forensic, legal, and therapeutic outcomes should not be treated as one endpoint. The quality of communication should be measured as behavior—choice, explanation, privacy, follow-through—not as clinician-rated warmth alone. Safety and material constraints belong in outcome models. Finally, symptom reduction should be reported alongside agency, health, participation, relationships, and harms.

XI. CONCLUSION

Adult rape warrants prompt, competent care without a prescribed presentation. It can be followed by PTSD, depression, anxiety, dissociation, substance use, suicidality, pain, sexual-health problems, and relationship disruption, but it can also be followed by recovery without a psychiatric disorder. The exposure should be documented and taken seriously while diagnosis remains based on symptoms, duration, impairment, and differential assessment. Neither distress nor resilience is a credibility test.

The acute clinical sequence is to address emergency health threats, establish privacy and safety, explain options, obtain step-specific consent, offer time-sensitive pregnancy and infection care, and coordinate a trained medical forensic examination when the patient wants it and it is available.

Health care should not depend on police reporting. Absence of injury, delayed presentation, fragmented recall, continued contact, and calm affect must remain within their evidentiary limits.

For persistent PTSD, trauma-focused psychotherapies have the strongest evidence, with treatment selected and paced through shared decision-making. Other active problems—depression, substance use, sleep, pain, sexual dysfunction, ongoing coercion, or material insecurity—require direct care rather than being folded into a single trauma label. Early support and monitoring are useful; compulsory debriefing or forced narration is not.

Communication and human relationships are clinically important because care follows a violation of consent and often begins amid fear of disbelief. Validation, transparent limits, reliable follow-through, and respectful partner or social support can help restore agency; blame, takeover, and unsafe disclosure can compound harm. Across settings, four principles follow: preserve choice at every transition, keep clinical and forensic inference distinct, treat the condition actually present, and include relational and material context in formulation and outcome.

XII. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND DISCLOSURES

Conflicts of interest—The author developed and writes about Communication-Focused Therapy (CFT). Where CFT is discussed, it is presented as a formulation perspective and not as a substitute for established assessment, evidence-based treatment, or independent replication. No other conflict of interest was reported.

Funding—No specific funding was reported for this article.

Author contributions—Christian Jonathan Haverkamp is the sole named author and is responsible for the conception, literature review, manuscript preparation, and approval of the final draft.

Patient material—No identifiable patient material is presented. Any brief examples are generic composites created to illustrate clinical reasoning and are not case reports.

XIII. REFERENCES

- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. (2019). Sexual assault: ACOG Committee Opinion No. 777. <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/committee-opinion/articles/2019/04/sexual-assault>
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Publishing. doi:10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596
- American Psychological Association. (2017). *Clinical practice guideline for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in adults*. <https://www.apa.org/ptsd-guideline/ptsd.pdf>
- Basile, K. C., Smith, S. G., Breiding, M. J., Black, M. C., & Mahendra, R. R. (2014). Sexual violence surveillance: Uniform definitions and recommended data elements, version 2.0. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/26326>
- Blevins, C. A., Weathers, F. W., Davis, M. T., Witte, T. K., & Domino, J. L. (2015). The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): Development and initial psychometric evaluation. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 28(6), 489–498. doi:10.1002/jts.22059
- Cloitre, M., Shevlin, M., Brewin, C. R., Bisson, J. I., Roberts, N. P., Maercker, A., Karatzias, T., & Hyland, P. (2018). The International Trauma Questionnaire: Development of a self-report measure of ICD-11 PTSD and complex PTSD. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 138(6), 536–546. doi:10.1111/acps.12956
- Coventry, P. A., Meader, N., Melton, H., Temple, M., Dale, H., Wright, K., Cloitre, M., Karatzias, T., Bisson, J., Roberts, N. P., Brown, J. V. E., Barbui, C., Churchill, R., Lovell, K., McMillan, D., & Gilbody, S. (2020). Psychological and pharmacological interventions for posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid mental health problems following complex traumatic events: Systematic review and component network meta-analysis. *PLOS Medicine*, 17(8), e1003262. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003262
- Cusack, K., Jonas, D. E., Forneris, C. A., Wines, C., Sonis, J., Middleton, J. C., Feltner, C., Brownley, K. A., Olmsted, K. R., Greenblatt, A., Weil, A., & Gaynes, B. N. (2016). Psychological treatments for adults with posttraumatic stress disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 43, 128–141. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2015.10.003
- Dworkin, E. R., Brill, C. D., & Ullman, S. E. (2019). Social reactions to disclosure of interpersonal violence and psychopathology: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 72, 101750. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2019.101750
- Dworkin, E. R., Menon, S. V., Bystrynski, J., & Allen, N. E. (2017). Sexual assault victimization and psychopathology: A review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 56, 65–81. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2017.06.002
- Fedina, L., Holmes, J. L., & Backes, B. L. (2018). Campus sexual assault: A systematic review of prevalence research from 2000 to 2015. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 19(1), 76–93. doi:10.1177/1524838016631129
- Lewis, C., Roberts, N. P., Andrew, M., Starling, E., & Bisson, J. I. (2020). Psychological therapies for post-traumatic stress disorder in adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 11(1), 1729633. doi:10.1080/20008198.2020.1729633
- Maercker, A., & Horn, A. B. (2013). A socio-interpersonal perspective on PTSD: The case for environments and interpersonal processes. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 20(6), 465–481. doi:10.1002/cpp.1805
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2018). Post-traumatic stress disorder (NG116). <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng116>
- Peterson, C., DeGue, S., Florence, C., & Lokey, C. N. (2017). Lifetime economic burden of rape among U.S. adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 52(6), 691–701. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2016.11.014
- Resick, P. A., Williams, L. F., Suvak, M. K., Monson, C. M., & Gradus, J. L. (2012). Long-term outcomes of cognitive-behavioral treatments for posttraumatic stress disorder among female rape

Haverkamp, C. J. (2023). Adult Rape: Epidemiology, Clinical Sequelae, Assessment, and Treatment. *J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication*, 2023 Sep 30;12(3):71-80

- survivors. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 80(2), 201–210. doi:10.1037/a0026602
- Rothbaum, B. O., Kearns, M. C., Price, M., Malcoun, E., Davis, M., Ressler, K. J., Lang, D., & Houry, D. (2012). Early intervention may prevent the development of posttraumatic stress disorder: A randomized pilot civilian study with modified prolonged exposure. *Biological Psychiatry*, 72(11), 957–963. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.06.002
- Schalk, T., Oliero, J., Fedele, E., Troussel, V., & Lefèvre, T. (2023). Evaluation of multidimensional functional impairment in adult sexual assault survivors, with a focus on its psychological, physical, and social dimensions, based on validated measurements: A PRISMA systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(14), 6373. doi:10.3390/ijerph20146373
- Smith, S. G., Zhang, X., Basile, K. C., Merrick, M. T., Wang, J., Kresnow, M.-J., & Chen, J. (2018). The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey: 2015 data brief—updated release. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/60893>
- Ullman, S. E., & Peter-Hagene, L. (2014). Social reactions to sexual assault disclosure, coping, perceived control, and PTSD symptoms in sexual assault victims. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 42(4), 495–508. doi:10.1002/jcop.21624
- U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women. (2013). A national protocol for sexual assault medical forensic examinations: Adults/adolescents (2nd ed.). <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/GOVPUB-J35-PURL-gpo175234>
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, & U.S. Department of Defense. (2023). VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for management of posttraumatic stress disorder and acute stress disorder.
- Weathers, F. W., Bovin, M. J., Lee, D. J., Sloan, D. M., Schnurr, P. P., Kaloupek, D. G., Keane, T. M., & Marx, B. P. (2018). The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5): Development and initial psychometric evaluation in military veterans. *Psychological Assessment*, 30(3), 383–395. doi:10.1037/pas0000486
- Workowski, K. A., Bachmann, L. H., Chan, P. A., Johnston, C. M., Muzny, C. A., Park, I., Reno, H., Zenilman, J. M., & Bolan, G. A. (2021). Sexually transmitted infections treatment guidelines, 2021. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, 70(4), 1–187. doi:10.15585/mmwr.r7004a1
- World Health Organization. (2013). Responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women: WHO clinical and policy guidelines. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241548595>
- World Health Organization. (2020). Clinical management of rape and intimate partner violence survivors: Developing protocols for use in humanitarian settings. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240001411>