Journal of Psychiatry
Psychotherapy & Communication

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

Christian Jonathan Haverkampf

Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair

Narrative Clinical Review

Abstract—Adult family estrangement is neither one event nor one moral category. Contact may narrow gradually, stop after a crisis, recur intermittently, or remain outwardly cordial while intimacy disappears. For some adult children or parents, distance protects against abuse, coercion, discrimination, or repeated violation; for others it follows chronic conflict, incompatible expectations, divided loyalties, or an impulsive rupture that later feels open to repair. This narrative clinical review considers evidence available through 30 May 2023. It brings adult-child and parent perspectives into the same formulation without treating their accounts as interchangeable or diagnosing an absent relative. Research remains limited by convenience samples, unmatched family members, retrospective narratives, cultural imbalance, and few controlled intervention studies. Even so, it clarifies recurring processes: discrepant causal stories, repeated distancing, stigma, ambiguous loss, family-system effects, and structural differences by gender, race, sexuality, migration, and material dependence. Psychotherapy can help a present client describe behavior precisely, assess safety, grieve what is unavailable, set workable boundaries, and choose among continued distance, limited contact, a negotiated attempt at repair, or renewed separation. Reconciliation is a possibility, not a treatment requirement. Clinicians serve clients best by widening choice while refusing both compulsory family loyalty and reflexive endorsement of permanent cut-off.

Index Terms—family estrangement, adult children, parents, boundaries, ambiguous loss, reconciliation

I. INTRODUCTION

Family estrangement is often described in decisive language: cut off, disowned, no contact. Lived relationships are usually less tidy. A person may stop visits but still answer emergencies, exchange messages through a sibling, monitor public information, or resume contact and withdraw again. Emotional distance may exist despite frequent practical contact. The clinically relevant question is not only whether people speak, but what connection remains, at what cost, under whose control, and with what possibility of change.

Public accounts commonly invite a verdict. One side is cast as finally enforcing a healthy boundary; the other as cruelly abandoning family. Either story may describe real harm, yet adopting it before assessment can obscure coercion, history, power, and divergent memory. Research repeatedly finds that estranged parents and adult children offer different explanations, often in unmatched samples that cannot establish whose account is more accurate (Carr et al., 2015; Blake, 2017).

Therapy begins with the person in the room and owes that person serious attention. It does not thereby gain access to an absent relative's motives, personality, or diagnosis. A clinician can document reported acts, their pattern and impact, the client's responses, corroborating information where legitimately available, and uncertainty. This precision validates experience more reliably than assigning a fashionable label to someone who has never been assessed.

The task is also not to maximize contact. Distance can be protective, especially where there is violence, intimidation, stalking, discrimination, financial exploitation, or chronic violation. Contact can also be withheld coercively or in a rapidly escalating conflict that later appears repairable. A humane formulation protects safety while keeping the client's agency wider than any slogan about blood ties or cutting people off.

Online discourse can make that discipline harder. Short accounts reward diagnostic certainty, decisive advice, and a recognizable villain, while algorithms repeatedly return similar stories. Such narratives may give a client their first language for harm and a vital sense of company. They may also compress distinct relationships into one script. Therapy can ask what a term or community helped the person recognize, what it leaves unexplained, and which decisions still require individualized evidence.

Christian Jonathan Haverkampf, M.D., LL.M., is a psychotherapist working in private practice in Dublin, Ireland. Correspondence: jonathanhaverkampf@gmail.com. Website: www.jonathanhaverkampf.com.

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

II. SCOPE AND REVIEW METHOD

This narrative clinical review considered literature publicly available through 30 May 2023, one month before the issue date. Searches emphasized adult parent-child and sibling estrangement, family distancing, attribution, communication, disclosure, ambiguous loss, social structure, counseling, support groups, and reconciliation. Peer-reviewed reviews, population and longitudinal studies, qualitative studies, and the small intervention literature were prioritized; a major University of Cambridge report was retained for its field-shaping descriptive work (Blake et al., 2015).

Estrangement research has no universally applied definition. Studies variously measure no contact, low contact, emotional distance, an identified rupture, or a period of estrangement at any point across years. Samples also differ in whether a parent or adult child names the relationship. Results are therefore discussed with their operational definitions visible; prevalence figures are not treated as estimates of one stable object.

Evidence for clinical practice is much thinner than descriptive evidence. Counseling studies mostly recruit people already seeking support, and family members are rarely matched. A facilitated support-group evaluation used a pre-post design without a randomized control, so change cannot establish specific efficacy (Blake et al., 2022). Recommendations below distinguish direct findings from professional synthesis and should be tested collaboratively rather than delivered as rules.

Because the subject invites allegiance, interpretive reflexivity is part of method. Research recruited through estrangement organizations may amplify people who have named and sustained distance; parent-only or adult-child-only surveys reveal that position rather than the whole family system. The review treats narratives as evidence of experience and meaning, not covert corroboration of disputed events. Clinical recommendations are framed to remain useful when no second account will ever be available.

III. DEFINING ESTRANGEMENT WITHOUT MAKING IT A VERDICT

Estrangement is best treated as a multidimensional relationship state rather than a diagnosis. Contact has frequency, channel, initiative, content, emotional quality, permeability, and duration. A person may accept letters but not calls, attend large gatherings but avoid private meetings, or exchange money while withholding personal information. A single yes-or-no item loses distinctions that determine safety and clinical goals (Scharp & Dorrance Hall, 2017; Scharp & Dorrance Hall, 2019).

Family solidarity theory is useful as a reminder that connection includes affection, association, agreement, support, opportunity, and obligation rather than one undifferentiated bond (Bengtson & Roberts, 1991). Estrangement may involve several of these dimensions while leaving others intact. The model is descriptive, not a demand that every dimension be restored.

Ambivalence and tension are ordinary in adult family relationships. In one study, greater tension was linked with lower affective solidarity and greater ambivalence, while parents and adult children differed in what they reported (Birditt et al., 2009). Estrangement lies on a wider field of closeness and distance; not every low-contact relationship is a crisis, and not every high-contact relationship is emotionally safe.

The term boundary is also best understood functionally. A boundary describes what a person will participate in and what they will do if a limit is crossed. It differs from an attempt to control another adult's beliefs, relationships, or private life. No contact can be a boundary when it is the only workable way to prevent access; silence can be coercive when used unpredictably to punish and extract compliance. The same visible behavior therefore requires history and function.

Definitions should be negotiated in assessment. What does the client mean by estranged? Who initiated which change, when, and after what communication? What exceptions exist? Is the person seeking confirmation, grief work, practical planning, reconciliation, or relief from pressure to reconcile? The answer keeps therapy centered on an actual decision rather than an abstract debate about family.

One family can contain several different estrangements. A client may have no contact with a parent, practical contact with one sibling, and a warm relationship with another who refuses to discuss the conflict. Treating the family as two opposing camps obscures these ties and can reproduce pressure to choose sides. A simple relationship map can record contact, safety, loyalty demands, information flow, and independent sources of support without converting relatives into fixed roles.

Table 1. Dimensions to document before calling a family relationship estranged (author-developed narrative synthesis; nonvalidated)

Dimension	Examples	Clinical question	Caution
Contact	None, rare, practical, mediated, public-only	Who initiates, responds, and sets the channel?	Frequency does not establish emotional safety
Emotional access	Affection, trust, disclosure, vigilance, numbness	What can be shared without predictable cost?	Low disclosure may be privacy rather than pathology
Time	Acute rupture, repeated cycle, years-long distance	What changed before each transition?	Do not infer permanence from the current phase
Power and dependence	Housing, money, care, immigration, inheritance, children	Can either person refuse without losing essentials?	Nominal choice can conceal coercion

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

Dimension	Examples	Clinical question	Caution
Meaning	Protection, punishment, grief, autonomy, shame	What does distance do and signify for this client?	The other person's meaning remains uncertain

IV. A PROCESS RATHER THAN A SINGLE CUT

Narrative research describes estrangement as a distancing process, often with repeated incidents, attempts at explanation, partial returns, and a final event retrospectively named as the last straw (Scharp et al., 2015). The apparent suddenness of a cutoff may therefore look different from each position. An adult child may remember years of unsuccessful requests; a parent may experience only the final cessation as salient.

Longitudinal work supports fluidity. In a U.S. linked cohort, estrangement from fathers was reported more often than estrangement from mothers, and many relationships later moved out of the estranged state (Reczek et al., 2023). A German panel study likewise treated estrangement as an event over time rather than a fixed family type (Arránz Becker & Hank, 2022). Different measures and societies prevent simple comparison, but both challenge an image of one irrevocable act.

Cycles matter clinically. A reunion made without changed conditions can recreate the injury and reinforce hopelessness. Repeated withdrawal without explicit limits can leave relatives in chronic uncertainty. Mapping the sequence—trigger, interpretation, message, response, escalation, distance, return—often reveals points where choice was lost. It also shows whether a proposed repair merely repeats the same sequence with warmer intentions.

A process account does not mean every relationship should remain open. It means the current arrangement has a history, and future decisions can be made with that history in view. Permanent no contact may be the safest conclusion; a limited channel may be sustainable; or a person may decide only that no decision beyond the next three months is needed. Therapy can make the time horizon explicit.

Communication preceding distance deserves close reading, but not endless forensic reconstruction. Some requests are indirect because direct speech was previously punished; some explanations are clear to the speaker but heard as a general attack; some relatives genuinely receive no usable reason. Uncertainty can remain central even after a coherent story has been built (Scharp & McLaren, 2018). The clinician can help a client make one present message more specific when contact is wanted. They should not imply that perfect wording could have prevented another person's violence, contempt, or refusal to listen.

V. WHY ACCOUNTS DIVERGE: PERSPECTIVE, ATTRIBUTION, AND POWER

Parents and adult children commonly emphasize different causes. In a large nonmatched sample, parents more often located estrangement in influences outside themselves, while adult children more often described relationship quality, interaction, and parental behavior (Carr et al., 2015). Because the respondents were not members of the same families, the result reveals patterned attribution, not a judgment that one class of account is true.

A survey of 1,035 estranged mothers also found frequent external attributions, including other family members, partners, and perceived child difficulties (Schoppe-Sullivan et al., 2021). The sample was self-selected and contained only mothers. It should not be used to diagnose defensiveness in an individual parent. It does suggest that treatment with a parent may need to explore agency carefully without beginning with accusation.

Power complicates symmetrical language. A parent's conduct toward a dependent child and an adult child's later refusal of contact do not occupy the same developmental position. At the same time, an aging or disabled parent may now depend materially on others and be vulnerable to exploitation or abandonment. A systems formulation follows power across time instead of assuming that family roles are either eternally hierarchical or completely equal.

Memory is reconstructive, and family members select different episodes as explanatory. A clinician need not settle every disputed fact to work responsibly. The conversation can separate what the client directly observed, what was communicated, what was inferred, and what remains unknown. Where records, messages, or third-party information are reviewed, consent, privacy, and the risk of turning therapy into litigation deserve attention.

Divergence can also reflect scale. One person explains estrangement by the final message, another by a decade of criticism, and another by a family rule that made disagreement unsafe. Communication research describes distancing as a process built across episodes, not simply the result of a last straw (Scharp et al., 2015). A useful timeline therefore records both events and patterns: what occurred, what each contact seemed to mean, what was requested, what response followed, and when power or dependence changed. The exercise is not an adversarial reconstruction of every fact. It helps the client distinguish a precipitant from a maintaining process and notice where uncertainty remains. A different family member might still organize the same history differently; that possibility does not invalidate observed harm.

Attribution becomes clinically useful when it returns choice. If all causality rests in an absent person's disorder, the client may feel understood but powerless. If all causality is

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

turned back onto the client, therapy reenacts blame. A balanced formulation identifies harms and constraints, the client's survival responses, any contribution they wish to examine, and decisions now within their control.

VI. ADULT-CHILD AND PARENT PERSPECTIVES

Qualitative work with adult children describes estrangement as both loss and, at times, protection. Participants have reported relief, greater autonomy, reduced exposure to harmful interaction, and continuing sadness for the parent or family they did not have (Agllias, 2018). These themes cannot establish what all adult children experience, but they resist the false choice between being unharmed and being grief-stricken.

Adult children may describe abuse, neglect, rejection of identity, favoritism, addiction, boundary violation, chronic criticism, or a parent's relationship with another family member. They may also describe value conflicts or interactions whose meanings changed as they gained distance. Therapy should invite behavioral detail—what happened, how often, what requests were made, and what followed—without requiring the client to prove harm through a diagnostic label.

Family-bond narratives show how estranged adult children make meaning against a strong cultural expectation that parent-child ties are natural and enduring (Scharp & Thomas, 2016). Disclosure research also shows that telling others can bring support, disbelief, unsolicited reconciliation advice, or stigma (Scharp, 2016). The therapy room may be one of the few places where both the reason for distance and the grief it creates can coexist.

The clinician also asks what cutoff prevents and what it costs. Does it stop harassment, or does it mainly prevent an anticipated conversation? Does it protect a partner or child? Does it require withdrawing from siblings, culture, language, place, or material support? Protective distance can still have severe consequences. Acknowledging those consequences does not argue against the boundary; it makes room to address the whole loss.

Agency includes revision. An adult child may maintain no contact, alter the terms, test a limited exchange, or decide that renewed contact is unsafe. Changing a decision is not proof that the first decision was wrong. The relevant question is whether present conditions, responsibility, behavior, and safety have changed enough to justify a different experiment.

Adult children who are themselves parents may face an additional decision about grandparents. Their child's wish for contact, practical childcare, and the hope of an intergenerational relationship can coexist with concern about repeated harm or triangulation. The adult client remains responsible for safeguarding a minor; a child should not become a messenger, witness to adult allegations, or proof that reconciliation succeeded. Developmentally appropriate explanations can be truthful without recruiting the child to adjudicate the family history.

Estranged parents often report bewilderment, shame, grief, and a threat to identity. Qualitative studies of older parents describe the experience as gendered and socially difficult to disclose, with mothers in particular judged against expectations of enduring kin work (Agllias, 2013). Other parental narratives frame estrangement through difference, choice, punishment, or perceived outside influence (Agllias, 2015).

Taking parental pain seriously does not require accepting the parent's causal explanation as complete. Parent-initiated estrangement narratives show that parents too may set distance, including in response to conflict or perceived harm (Scharp & Thomas, 2018). A parent in therapy may need help grieving, respecting a stated boundary, and examining what repair would require even when the adult child never joins the conversation.

A useful shift is from demanding a comprehensive answer to studying available communication. What concerns did the adult child name? How did the parent respond at the time? Was an apology offered, and did it acknowledge impact without qualification? Did later messages contain pressure, gifts, threats, appeals through grandchildren, or repeated contact after a request to stop? Questions about behavior reduce the temptation to explain everything through the absent child's partner or mental health.

Parents also need protection from humiliation and false certainty. Some receive no explanation; some are estranged after a divorce, alliance shift, addiction, or controlling relationship affecting the adult child; some have made sustained changes without renewed contact. Therapy can support accountability where warranted and grief where control ends. It should not promise that correct words will produce reconciliation.

Research on reconciliation in a parent survey linked attachment security and family history with reported estrangement and reconnection, but relied on parent report and cannot provide a recipe (Coleman et al., 2022). The clinically defensible aim is a response the parent can stand behind: accurate, noncoercive, open to specific responsibility, and able to tolerate silence.

Respecting silence can be an active therapeutic achievement. A parent may draft messages, notice the impulse to send them through new numbers or relatives, and choose not to override a request. That restraint can express care even when it is never witnessed by the adult child. The therapist can help the parent create other places for grief and accountability so that the absent person is not made responsible for relieving the parent's distress.

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

VII. STRUCTURE, CULTURE, GENDER, RACE, AND SEXUALITY

Estrangement is often narrated as private psychology, yet opportunity and obligation are socially distributed. Housing costs, care systems, inheritance, migration status, geographic mobility, digital access, and economic inequality determine whether distance is materially possible. A person who depends on family for shelter, disability care, or legal status cannot exercise the same boundary as someone with independent resources.

Large longitudinal studies show patterned differences rather than a universal prevalence. U.S. findings varied by parent gender, the adult child's gender, race and ethnicity, and sexuality; the authors emphasized transitions into and out of estrangement across the life course (Reczek et al., 2023). German data likewise locate distancing within national institutions and family patterns (Arránz Becker & Hank, 2022). These associations do not explain an individual rupture.

Gendered expectations affect who maintains kinship, absorbs conflict, provides care, and carries blame for family discontinuity. Research with estranged older parents and with mothers makes that burden visible, but also reflects a field in which fathers and nonbinary family members are less often studied (Agllias, 2013; Gilligan et al., 2022). Absence from the literature should not be mistaken for absence of experience.

Sexual and gender minority people may face family rejection or conditional belonging; racialized and migrant families may face external discrimination while also carrying strong survival-based obligations to one another. A clinician can validate structural threats without using culture to excuse abuse or using individual autonomy as a culturally neutral ideal. The question is how loyalty, safety, identity, and dependence operate for this person.

Values differences have empirical relevance. A study of mothers and adult children found that value dissimilarity was associated with estrangement, but norms and values are not simply opinions floating free of power (Gilligan et al., 2015). Disagreement about a partner, religion, politics, parenting, or identity can include an ordinary difference, a demand for conformity, or a threat to dignity. Clinical language should specify which.

Care obligations require particular attention in later life. Estrangement may leave one sibling carrying disproportionate care, or bring a distant adult child back during illness without repairing the relationship. Services can mistakenly assume that biological family is available and willing. Planning should clarify legal authority, consent, safeguarding, alternatives to family care, and what contact is necessary for a defined task. Providing care does not automatically restore intimacy; declining care is not interpretable without resources and history.

VIII. PROTECTIVE DISTANCE, COERCIVE CUTOFF, AND IMPULSIVE WITHDRAWAL

No-contact advice becomes unsafe when it treats different processes as equivalent. Protective distance limits access after credible harm, repeated violation, stalking, coercive control, or failed attempts at safer contact. Coercive cutoff uses access or silence to punish, isolate, or obtain compliance. Impulsive withdrawal occurs in acute arousal before consequences and alternatives have been considered. These categories can overlap and may change over time.

Assessment begins with danger. Threats, violence, sexual abuse, stalking, weapon access, forced entry, digital surveillance, financial exploitation, child safety, dependent-adult risk, and escalating behavior require concrete planning and, where applicable, specialist or statutory consultation. Joint sessions or mediated contact are not neutral when one party cannot refuse safely. A therapist should never arrange a surprise family encounter.

Where immediate danger is absent, the clinician can slow a high-stakes decision without confiscating it. A temporary communication pause, a written boundary, consultation with trusted supports, and a review date may preserve options. Slowing is not appropriate when delay extends exposure to harm. The client and clinician should state explicitly what makes waiting safer or less safe.

The language of protection can itself be used coercively. A relative may demand loyalty tests, recruit others, threaten self-harm to force contact, or make access to children, money, care, or community conditional on compliance. Conversely, a client's fear of disappointing family can make a consensual boundary feel cruel. Function, proportionality, alternatives, and power are more informative than the preferred label.

Documentation should avoid verdicts beyond the evidence. 'The client reports twelve unwanted contacts after a written request to stop' is clinically useful. 'The mother is a narcissist' is not an assessment of an absent person. Precise records support safety, supervision, and any later change of plan.

Digital boundaries need their own plan. Blocking one channel may prompt new accounts, location sharing, password access, public posts, or contact through workplaces and friends. The client can review privacy settings, preserve threatening messages where appropriate, tell selected people what information not to share, and obtain specialist advice when stalking is suspected. These practical steps should be proportionate; constant monitoring of the relative's online activity can itself extend the relationship's control over daily life.

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

The usefulness of a boundary is judged by what it changes and what it costs. Does unwanted contact decrease? Can the client sleep, work, parent, or attend family events with less vigilance? Has a limit unintentionally transferred pressure to a sibling, child, workplace, or financially dependent relative? Review does not make the boundary temporary by default; it checks whether the chosen arrangement remains protective and feasible. Repeated testing of a clear limit is information, as is respectful adherence. When circumstances change, the client may keep, narrow, or widen contact without presenting the decision as proof that the earlier boundary was mistaken. This functional review prevents therapy from counting blocked channels as success while daily life remains organized around anticipation of the next intrusion.

Table 2. Distinguishing functions of family distance (author-developed narrative synthesis; nonvalidated)

Pattern	Indicators	Primary clinical response	Do not assume
Protective distance	Repeated harm, credible fear, limits ignored, access used to injure	Safety plan, reduce access, strengthen material and social support	That reconciliation is the healthier outcome
Coercive cutoff	Silence or access used unpredictably to punish, isolate, or compel	Name leverage, assess dependence and risk, restore choice	That the person withholding contact is always safer
Impulsive withdrawal	Acute escalation, little planning, uncertain goal, rapid reversal	Reduce arousal, clarify purpose, consider a time-limited pause	That the decision is either invalid or permanent
Sustained low contact	Stable limits, selected channels, manageable impact	Support maintenance and review when conditions change	That closeness is required for a successful family tie
Ambiguous or imposed distance	No clear explanation, third-party barriers, missing information	Grief work, reality-based inquiry, limits on repeated pursuit	That uncertainty can always be resolved

IX. AMBIGUOUS LOSS, SHAME, AND THE WIDER FAMILY

Estrangement creates a distinctive loss because the person is alive and the relationship is psychologically present while unavailable or unsafe. There may be no public ritual, accepted language, or stable endpoint. Hope and grief alternate, and each new family event can reopen the question of contact. Qualitative and review literature repeatedly describes this coexistence of absence, uncertainty, and continuing bond (Blake, 2017; Agllias, 2018).

Shame narrows disclosure. Estranged people may anticipate being judged as ungrateful children, failed parents, disloyal siblings, or dangerous relatives. Network responses can include support but also disbelief, minimization, gossip, and pressure to reconcile (Scharp, 2016). Therapy can help choose what to tell, to whom, and for what purpose rather than equating openness with health.

The wider family rarely remains neutral. Siblings may become messengers, witnesses, gatekeepers, or alternative attachments. Qualitative research on adult sibling estrangement identifies histories of conflict, abuse, caregiving, inheritance, and divided loyalties, while the evidence remains exploratory (Blake et al., 2023a). Children and partners can be drawn into contact decisions they did not choose.

Holidays, illness, births, funerals, and caregiving crises intensify boundary demands. A plan can specify who will share essential information, whether simultaneous attendance is acceptable, where exits are, and how children will be protected from carrying messages. Planning is not pessimism; it keeps a predictable family event from forcing a decision in the most emotionally compressed moment.

Grief work includes the lost imagined family as well as the actual relationship. A client may mourn the apology, grandparent, sibling alliance, language, home, or future gathering that is unlikely to exist. Therapy need not convert that grief into reconciliation. It can help the person recognize what remains possible elsewhere without pretending that chosen community is an effortless substitute.

X. ASSESSMENT WITHOUT DIAGNOSING THE ABSENT PERSON

Clinical assessment should be curious and bounded. The clinician asks for a timeline, specific behaviors, attempts at communication, contact channels, current pressures, safety concerns, and effects on sleep, mood, work, relationships, identity, and practical life. The client is also asked what they hope therapy will do. Wanting a witness, a decision, symptom relief, or preparation for contact are different tasks.

Terms such as toxic, narcissistic, abusive, alienated, or gaslighting may carry hard-won meaning for a client. The therapist need not correct the vocabulary at the door. The next question is what the term refers to: denial of documented events, humiliation, threats, inconsistent affection, control of money, rejection of identity, or something else. Behavior and impact can be validated without a remote diagnosis.

Assessment includes the client's own patterns without implying equal blame. How do they respond under threat? What limits have they communicated? Do they repeatedly check, recruit intermediaries, send long messages, disappear, or return without changed terms? These questions locate possible choices. They do not neutralize a power imbalance or make one person's harmful conduct the other's responsibility.

Mental health and risk are assessed independently. Estrangement can coexist with depression, trauma symptoms, substance use, self-harm, loneliness, or caregiver burden; none explains the relationship automatically. If a client is in acute

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

danger from self or others, the safety response takes priority. If another person threatens self-harm to compel contact, emergency pathways should replace private responsibility for keeping them alive.

The formulation remains revisable. New information, a message, a family death, financial change, or the client's own reflection may alter the picture. A therapist who has publicly endorsed one family villain can make revision feel like betrayal. Tentative, specific language protects both validation and the capacity to think.

Six questions before advising on contact (author-developed summary; nonvalidated)

- What exactly has happened, over what period, and which parts are observed, reported, or inferred?
- What danger, coercion, dependency, or child or vulnerable-adult concern changes the decision?
- What function does present distance serve, and what costs does it create?
- What has been communicated, respected, ignored, or pursued through third parties?
- What outcomes does the client want, and which are actually within their control?
- Would a pause, limited channel, mediator, or no contact increase safety and choice—and how will it be reviewed?

XI. INDIVIDUAL THERAPY: AGENCY, GRIEF, AND BOUNDARIES

The small counseling literature suggests that estranged clients value validation, informed understanding, nonjudgment, and help with grief and decision-making; it also records experiences of therapists minimizing harm or pressing reconciliation (Blake et al., 2020). A later interview study in the United Kingdom similarly emphasizes the importance of therapists understanding estrangement and its social context, while its volunteer sample cannot define universal best practice (Blake et al., 2023b).

Individual therapy can map the sequence of contact, clarify limits, process loss, reduce shame, and strengthen relationships outside the estrangement. It may address trauma, depression, anxiety, or compulsive checking when these are present. The treatment should say which target it is addressing. Symptom improvement is not evidence that contact is now safe; maintaining distance is not evidence that therapy has failed.

Boundary work becomes concrete when it specifies channel, content, timing, and consequence. 'Do not contact me' may be the appropriate complete message. In other circumstances a client may say that email about a parent's medical emergency is acceptable but unannounced visits are not. The consequence must be something the client can enact, such as not responding or blocking a channel, rather than a command that depends on the other person changing.

Communication planning should simplify the message rather than pursue a perfect formulation. Long explanations may feel necessary when the client has rarely felt understood, yet they can expose intimate material, invite line-by-line dispute, or make a boundary appear negotiable. Therapy can clarify the purpose—information, request, limit, acknowledgment, or invitation—and choose the shortest form that serves it. The client can decide whether a reply is requested, which channel is acceptable, how long they will wait, and what they will do after silence or escalation. Client accounts of counseling suggest that informed validation and help with decision-making are useful, whereas pressure toward a therapist's preferred outcome is not (Blake et al., 2020; Blake et al., 2023b). The draft remains the client's communication, including the decision not to send it.

Support groups can reduce isolation and normalize mixed feelings. A pre-post evaluation of a facilitated group found reduced distress among completers, but without randomization or a control group the change cannot be attributed confidently to the intervention (Blake et al., 2022). Fit, confidentiality, moderation, and the possibility of pressure toward either reunion or permanent cutoff should be discussed.

Therapy also helps the client build a life not organized entirely around the estrangement. This may include chosen relationships, parenting, community, culture, work, rest, and practical independence. The aim is not distraction from grief. It is to restore multiple sources of identity and connection so that one unavailable relationship does not remain the sole judge of belonging.

Clinician reactions warrant supervision. A therapist may identify strongly with a rejected parent, a controlled adult child, or their own family history and begin arguing for reunion or separation. Relief when a client adopts the therapist's preferred course is a warning sign, not an outcome. Supervision can return attention to evidence, countertransference, safety, culture, and the client's stated values before advice hardens into an alliance against an absent family member.

XII. RECONCILIATION AS AN OPTION, NOT A DUTY

Reconciliation is not the same as renewed contact. Contact can resume without accountability, trust, or changed behavior; emotional repair can sometimes occur internally without communication. When both people want a process and can participate safely, repair may involve acknowledging specific impact, taking proportionate responsibility, changing conduct, tolerating different memories, and accepting that trust returns more slowly than access.

Accountability is better assessed over time than through the emotional force of one apology. A useful acknowledgment names conduct and impact without demanding immediate

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

forgiveness, describes what will change, and tolerates the other person's control over access. The recipient may accept the acknowledgment, dispute parts of it, or decline contact; therapy should not convert any response into a test of readiness. Observable follow-through—respecting a channel, stopping recruitment of intermediaries, keeping an agreed limit, or seeking separate help—provides more information than promises made during a crisis. Because estrangement patterns can move in and out of contact, a renewed exchange is best treated as new data rather than proof of permanent reconciliation (Gilligan et al., 2022). Trust may remain limited even when communication becomes civil.

A contact ladder protects against the false choice between permanent silence and immediate family intimacy. Steps may include receiving essential information through a trusted person, a one-way letter, delayed email, a brief call, or a structured meeting. Each step has a purpose, boundary, stopping rule, and review. Progress is not measured by climbing upward; the sustainable level may be limited contact or none.

Family or conjoint therapy requires informed willingness from each participant and screening for violence, coercive control, stalking, severe manipulation of access, and practical dependence. The therapist should clarify confidentiality, record access, contact outside sessions, and whether they are treating a relationship or one person. Mediation, legal advice, safeguarding, or separate clinicians may be more appropriate than therapy for some disputes.

Research on processes across time shows repeated transitions and heterogeneous patterns rather than one pathway to reunion (Gilligan et al., 2022). Parent survey findings about reconciliation are informative but cannot determine what will work for a specific dyad (Coleman et al., 2022). The person seeking repair needs preparation for no answer, a conditional answer, or an answer that confirms distance.

A repair attempt should preserve the client’s ability to stop. Red flags include denial of clearly named conduct, insistence that access precede discussion, retaliation, recruitment of children or relatives, threats, and repeated boundary testing. A painful but respectful refusal is different. Therapy helps the client distinguish disappointment from danger and preserve dignity without converting another person's no into a negotiation tactic.

Table 3. A consent-based contact ladder (author-developed narrative synthesis; nonvalidated)

Level	Purpose and stopping rule
No direct	Protect safety or function; review only if the client chooses or conditions materially change.
One-way or delayed	Use one bounded message or an agreed channel. Do not repeat or recruit others after refusal; stop for pressure, abuse, or surveillance.
Brief direct	Schedule a call or public meeting with an exit plan; stop for intimidation, escalation, or ignored limits.
Structured repair	Use consensual mediation or therapy only after screening; stop if safety is compromised or access is forced.

XIII. LIMITATIONS

Adult family estrangement remains an underdeveloped research field. Definitions, time frames, and relationship types vary, and much evidence comes from cross-sectional surveys, retrospective narratives, or people connected with support organizations. Reviews have repeatedly noted the scarcity of matched family-member data and the danger of generalizing from one perspective (Blake, 2017).

Qualitative studies provide depth but not prevalence or causal adjudication. Large longitudinal studies improve temporal and structural understanding, yet their categorical measures cannot capture every form of low contact, coercion, or emotional estrangement. National findings should not be transferred across welfare systems, migration histories, racial formations, or family norms without examination.

Intervention evidence is especially limited. Counseling-experience studies identify perceived helpful and harmful practice, and support-group evaluations are promising, but controlled comparisons, long follow-up, adverse-effect reporting, and agreed outcomes are scarce (Blake et al., 2020; Blake et al., 2022; Blake et al., 2023b). Reconciliation rates cannot substitute for safety, agency, grief, or relationship quality.

The literature also overrepresents mothers, adult children seeking support, White Western samples, and heterosexual family assumptions. Fathers, siblings, chosen family, trans and nonbinary people, disabled people, minoritized communities, and families shaped by migration or economic dependence require more direct study. Clinical recommendations in these

Haverkampf, C. J. (2023). Adult Family Estrangement: Boundaries, Cut-Off, Grief, and the Possibility of Repair. J Psychiatry Psychotherapy Communication, 2023 Jun 30;12(2):51-60

areas are provisional and should be guided by local knowledge and the client's priorities.

XIV. CONCLUSION

Adult family estrangement is a changing configuration of contact, meaning, power, and loss. Both parents and adult children can experience profound grief; their explanations often differ, and neither a family role nor attendance in therapy confers automatic epistemic authority. Clinicians can take a client's account seriously while describing what is known, reported, inferred, and absent.

Safe practice distinguishes protective distance from coercive or impulsive cutoff, keeps structural and cultural conditions visible, and never diagnoses the relative who is not present. Therapy can support precise boundaries, mourning, practical independence, and a fuller life whether or not contact resumes.

Repair is possible in some relationships when consent, safety, acknowledgment, and changed behavior are present. It is not owed, guaranteed, or the measure of successful treatment. A more defensible clinical aim is restored agency: the client can choose and sustain the form of relationship—or distance—that best fits the evidence, their values, and current safety.

XV. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND DISCLOSURES

Conflicts of interest—The author developed and writes about Communication-Focused Therapy (CFT). Where CFT is discussed, it is presented as a formulation perspective and not as a substitute for established assessment, evidence-based treatment, or independent replication. No other conflict of interest was reported.

Funding—No specific funding was reported for this article.

Author contributions—Christian Jonathan Haverkampf is the sole named author and is responsible for the conception, literature review, manuscript preparation, and approval of the final draft.

Patient material—No identifiable patient material is presented. Any brief examples are generic composites created to illustrate clinical reasoning and are not case reports.

XVI. REFERENCES

Agllias, K. (2013). The gendered experience of family estrangement in later life. Affilia, 28(3), 309–321. doi:10.1177/0886109913495727

Agllias, K. (2015). Difference, choice, and punishment: Parental beliefs and understandings about adult child estrangement. Australian Social Work, 68(1), 115–129. doi:10.1080/0312407X.2014.927897

Agllias, K. (2018). Missing family: The adult child's experience of parental estrangement. Journal of Social Work Practice, 32(1), 59–72. doi:10.1080/02650533.2017.1326471

Arránz Becker, O., & Hank, K. (2022). Adult children's estrangement from parents in Germany. Journal of Marriage and Family, 84(1), 347–360. doi:10.1111/jomf.12796

Bengtson, V. L., & Roberts, R. E. L. (1991). Intergenerational solidarity in aging families: An example of formal theory construction. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 53(4), 856–870. doi:10.2307/352993

Birditt, K. S., Miller, L. M., Fingerman, K. L., & Lefkowitz, E. S. (2009). Tensions in the parent and adult child relationship: Links to solidarity and ambivalence. Psychology and Aging, 24(2), 287–295. doi:10.1037/a0015196

Blake, L. (2017). Parents and children who are estranged in adulthood: A review and discussion of the literature. Journal of Family Theory & Review, 9(4), 521–536. doi:10.1111/jftr.12216

Blake, L., Bland, B., & Gilbert, H. (2022). The efficacy of a facilitated support group intervention to reduce the psychological distress of individuals experiencing family estrangement. Evaluation and Program Planning, 95, 102168. doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2022.102168

Blake, L., Bland, B., & Golombok, S. (2015). Hidden voices: Family estrangement in adulthood. University of Cambridge Centre for Family Research. https://www.cfr.cam.ac.uk/files/hiddenvoices-finalreport.pdf

Blake, L., Bland, B., & Imrie, S. (2020). The counseling experiences of individuals who are estranged from a family member. Family Relations, 69(4), 820–831. doi:10.1111/fare.12385

Blake, L., Bland, B., & Rouncefield-Swales, A. (2023a). Estrangement between siblings in adulthood: A qualitative exploration. Journal of Family Issues, 44(7), 1859–1879. doi:10.1177/0192513X211064876

Blake, L., Rouncefield-Swales, A., Bland, B., & Carter, B. (2023b). An interview study exploring clients' experiences of receiving therapeutic support for family estrangement in the UK. Counselling and Psychotherapy Research, 23(1), 105–114. doi:10.1002/capr.12603

Carr, K., Holman, A., Abetz, J., Kellas, J. K., & Vagnoni, E. (2015). Giving voice to the silence of family estrangement: Comparing reasons of estranged parents and adult children in a nonmatched sample. Journal of Family Communication, 15(2), 130–140. doi:10.1080/15267431.2015.1013106

Coleman, J., Cowan, P. A., & Pape Cowan, C. (2022). Attachment security, divorce, parental estrangement, and reconciliation. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 39(3), 778–795. doi:10.1177/02654075211046305

Gilligan, M., Suitor, J. J., & Pillemer, K. (2015). Estrangement between mothers and adult children: The role of norms and values. Journal of Marriage and Family, 77(4), 908–920. doi:10.1111/jomf.12207

Gilligan, M., Suitor, J. J., & Pillemer, K. (2022). Patterns and processes of intergenerational estrangement: A qualitative study of mother-adult child relationships across time. Research on Aging, 44(5–6), 436–447. doi:10.1177/01640275211036966

Reczek, R., Stacey, L., & Thomeer, M. B. (2023). Parent-adult child estrangement in the United States by gender, race/ethnicity, and sexuality. Journal of Marriage and Family, 85(2), 494–517. doi:10.1111/jomf.12898

Scharp, K. M. (2016). Parent-child estrangement: Conditions for disclosure and perceived social network member reactions. Family Relations, 65(5), 688–700. doi:10.1111/fare.12219

Scharp, K. M., & Dorrance Hall, E. (2017). Family marginalization, alienation, and estrangement: Questioning the nonvoluntary status of family relationships. Annals of the International Communication Association, 41(1), 28–45. doi:10.1080/23808985.2017.1285680

Scharp, K. M., & Dorrance Hall, E. (2019). Reconsidering family closeness: A review and call for research on family distancing. Journal of Family Communication, 19(1), 1–14. doi:10.1080/15267431.2018.1544563

Scharp, K. M., & McLaren, R. M. (2018). Uncertainty issues and management in adult children's stories of their estrangement with their parents. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 35(6), 811–830. doi:10.1177/0265407517699097

Scharp, K. M., & Thomas, L. J. (2016). Family bonds: Making meaning of parent-child relationships in estrangement narratives. Journal of Family Communication, 16(1), 32–50. doi:10.1080/15267431.2015.1111215

Scharp, K. M., & Thomas, L. J. (2018). Making meaning of the parent-child relationship: A dialogic analysis of parent-initiated estrangement narratives. Journal of Family Communication, 18(4), 302–316. doi:10.1080/15267431.2018.1484747

Scharp, K. M., Thomas, L. J., & Paxman, C. G. (2015). It was the straw that broke the camel's back: Exploring the distancing processes communicatively constructed in parent-child estrangement backstories. Journal of Family Communication, 15(4), 330–348. doi:10.1080/15267431.2015.1076422

Schoppe-Sullivan, S. J., Coleman, J., Wang, J., & Yan, J. J. (2021). Mothers' attributions for estrangement from their adult children. Couple and Family Psychology: Research and Practice. Advance online publication. doi:10.1037/cfp0000198